

ELA/L 4

PERFORMANCE LEVEL DESCRIPTORS

Maryland State Department of Education



Policy Level Performance Level Definitions

For each grade level or course, there are students performing along a proficiency continuum with regard to the skills and knowledge necessary to meet the demands of the Maryland College and Career Ready Standards (MCCRS). The Maryland Comprehensive Assessment Program (MCAP) is designed to align student performance with one of four proficiency levels. These proficiency levels are in the table below:

MCAP Policy Performance Level Descriptors			
4			
3			
2			
1			

Performance Level Descriptions

Performance level Descriptions (PLDs) describe the range of knowledge and skills students should demonstrate at a given performance level.

How are the PLDs used in Assessment?

PLDs are essential in setting standards for the MCAP assessments. Standard setting panelists use PLDs to determine the threshold expectations for students to demonstrate the knowledge and skills necessary to attain just barely a level 2, level 3, or level 4 on the assessment. These discussions then influence the panelists in establishing cut scores for the assessment. PLDs are also used to inform item development, as each test needs questions that distinguish performance all along the continuum.

How can the PLDs be used in instruction?

PLDs help communicate to students, families, educators and the public the specific knowledge and skills expected of students to demonstrate proficiency and can serve a number of purposes in classroom instruction. They are the foundation of rich discussion around what students need to do to perform at higher levels and to explain the progression of learning within a subject and grade level. We encourage the use of the PLDs for a variety of purposes, such as differentiating instruction to maximize individual student outcomes, creating classroom assessments and rubrics to help in identifying target performance levels for individual or groups of students, and tracking student growth along the proficiency continuum as described by the PLDs.

The MCAP English Language Arts/Literacy Range Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs)

The MCAP English Language Arts/Literacy Range Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs) align with the MCAP Policy PLDs and describe the expectations for students across each standard and proficiency level. The English Language Arts/Literacy Range PLDs reflect the knowledge, skills, and processes that are expected of students at each level.

Text Complexity:

The Maryland College and Career Ready Standards and Common Core State Standards indicate that 50 percent of an item's complexity is linked to the complexity of the text(s) used as the stimulus for that item. Consequently, to determine students' performance levels, it is critical to identify the pattern of responses when students respond to items linked to passages with distinct text complexities. To this end, a clear and consistent model has been developed to define text complexity and the use of three text complexity levels has been determined: readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex. For more information on text complexity, refer to the CCSS Appendix A (http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy) and Appendix B (http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy).

The two components for determining text complexity for all passages are as follows:

a. Two technology-based quantitative text complexity tools (Lexile and Flesch-Kincaid) will be used to analyze all reading passages to determine an initial recommendation for placement of a text into a grade band and subsequently a grade level.

b. Text Complexity Analysis Worksheets, one for informational text and one for literary text, are then used to determine qualitative measures. Trained evaluators use these worksheets to determine a recommendation for qualitative text complexity within the grade level, with each text defined as readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex.

For multimedia texts, qualitative judgments from one, two, or all three of the "optional" categories in the Text Complexity Analysis Worksheet will be combined with judgments in the other categories to make a holistic determination of the complexity of the material.

Reporting Categories/Strands: Reading Literature, Reading Informational, & Vocabulary

Students read and demonstrate comprehension of grade-level text. Students use context to determine the meaning of words and phrases.

Reporting Category/Strand: Written Expression

Students write effectively when using and/or analyzing sources.

Reporting Category/Strand: Knowledge of Language and Conventions

Students write effectively, following conventions of standard English, applying sentence variety, and using appropriate mechanics.

Reporting Categories/Strands: Reading Literature, Reading Informational, & Vocabulary

Students read and demonstrate comprehension of grade-level text. Students use context to determine the meaning of words and phrases.

Items designed to measure the *reading literature claim* on the MCAP ELA/L Assessment may address the evidence statements listed below.

The student's answer:

- Provides references to details and/or examples in a text when explaining what the text says explicitly and/or when explaining inferences drawn from the text.
- Provides a statement of a theme of a text.
- Provides a summary of the text.
- Provides an in-depth description of a character in a story or drama, drawing on specific details in the text (e.g., a character's thoughts, words, or actions).
- Provides an in-depth description of a setting in a story or drama, drawing on specific details in the text.
- Provides an in-depth description of an event in a story or drama, drawing on specific details in the text.
- Provides an explanation of major differences between poems, drama, and prose with references to structural elements of poems (e.g., verse, rhythm, meter) and drama (e.g., casts of characters, settings, descriptions, dialogue, stage directions) when writing about a text.
- Provides a comparison and contrast of the point of view from which different stories are narrated, including the difference between first-person and thirdperson narrations.
- Provides a connection between the text of a story or drama and a visual or oral presentation of the text, identifying where each version reflects specific descriptions and/or directions in the text.
- Provides a comparison and contrast of the treatment of similar themes and/or topics (e.g., opposition of good and evil) in stories, myths, and traditional literature from different cultures.
- Provides a comparison and contrast of the treatment of similar patterns of events (e.g., the quest) in stories, myths, and traditional literature from different cultures.

Items designed to measure the *reading informational claim* on the MCAP ELA/L Assessment may address the evidence statements listed below.

The student's answer:

- Provides references to details and/or examples in a text when explaining what the text says explicitly and/or text when explaining inferences drawn from the text.
- Provides a statement of the main idea of a text.
- Provides an explanation of how the main idea is supported by key details.
- Provides a summary of the text.
- Provides an explanation of events in a historical, scientific, or technical text, including what happened and why, based on specific information in the text.
- Provides an explanation of procedures in a historical, scientific, or technical text, including what happened and why, based on specific information in the text.

- Provides an explanation of ideas or concepts in a historical, scientific, or technical text, including what happened and why, based on specific information in the text.
- Provides a description of the overall structure (e.g., chronology, comparison, cause/effect, and problem/solution) of events, ideas, concepts or information in a text or part of a text.
- Provides a comparison and contrast of the focus and information in a firsthand and secondhand account of the same event or topic.
- Provides an interpretation of information presented visually (e.g., in charts, graphs, diagrams, time lines, animations, or interactive elements on Web pages).
- Provides an interpretation of information presented orally (e.g., animations or interactive elements on Web pages).
- Provides an interpretation of information presented quantitatively (e.g., in charts, graphs, diagrams, or interactive elements on Web pages).
- Provides an explanation of how the information presented visually, orally, or quantitatively contributes to an understanding of the text in which it appears.
- Provides an explanation of how an author uses reasons to support particular points in a text.
- Provides an explanation of how an author uses evidence to support particular points in a text.
- Provides a statement that integrates information from two texts on the same topic.

Items designed to measure the **vocabulary interpretation and use claim** on the MCAP ELA/L Assessment may address the evidence statements listed below.

The student's answer:

- Demonstrates the ability to determine the meaning of words and phrases as they are used in a text, including those that allude to significant characters found in mythology.
- Demonstrates the ability to determine the meaning of general academic words or phrases in a text relevant to grade 4 topics or subject area.
- Demonstrates the ability to determine the meaning of words and phrases, using context (e.g., definitions, examples, or restatements in text).
- Demonstrates the ability to determine meaning of simple similes and metaphors in context.
- Demonstrates the ability to explain the meaning of common idioms, adages, and proverbs.
- Demonstrates understanding of words by relating them to their opposites (antonyms) and to words with similar but not identical meanings (synonyms).

Anchor Standards	Level 4 In reading, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:	Level 3 In reading, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:	Level 2 In reading, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:	Level 1 In reading, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:
Reading Literature MCCRS 1-7, & 9	• With very complex text, students demonstrate a mostly <u>accurate</u> understanding and analysis of the text, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit	• With very complex text, students demonstrate a <u>generally accurate</u> understanding and analysis of the text, showing <u>general</u> understanding of the text when referring to explicit	• With very complex text, students demonstrate a very limited understanding and analysis of the text, showing very limited understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in	• With very complex text, students demonstrate very little or no understanding and analysis of the text, showing little or no understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples
Reading Informational MCCRS 1-9	 details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text. With <i>moderately complex</i> 	 details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text. With moderately complex 	 the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text. With <i>moderately complex text</i>, students demonstrate a 	 in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text. With moderately complex text, students demonstrate
Language	<i>text</i> , students demonstrate an <u>accurate</u> understanding and analysis of the text, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit	<i>text</i> , students demonstrate a <u>generally accurate</u> understanding and analysis of the text, showing <u>general</u> understanding of the text	<u>limited</u> understanding and analysis of the text, showing <u>limited</u> understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the	<u>little to no</u> understanding and analysis of the text, showing <u>little to no</u> understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples
MCCRS 4-5	 details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text. With <i>readily accessible text</i>, students demonstrate an extensive understanding and 	 when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text. With <i>readily accessible text</i>, demonstrate a 	text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text. • With <i>readily accessible text</i> , students demonstrate a <u>partial</u> understanding and analysis of the text, showing partial	in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text. • With <i>readily accessible text</i> , students demonstrate a <u>minimally accurate</u> analysis of the text, showing minimal
	analysis of the text, showing <u>full</u> understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.	satisfactory understanding and analysis of the text, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.	understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.	understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.

Reporting Category/Strand: Written Expression

Students write effectively when using and/or analyzing sources.

Items designed to measure the *written expression claim* on the MCAP ELA/L Assessment may address the evidence statements listed below.

The student's response:

- Demonstrates a full and complete understanding of ideas in the texts by providing an accurate analysis supported with effective and convincing textual evidence.
- States opinions on topics or texts, and effectively supports a point of view with reasons and information.
- Develops clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience.
- Presents ideas clearly and logically from beginning to end; there are strong connections between and among ideas.
- Examines a topic and conveys ideas and information accurately and clearly.
- Uses narrative techniques to effectively develop an event sequence.
- Includes an effective thematic or topical link to the sources which enhances the narrative.
- Uses words and phrases and sensory details to convey experiences and events precisely.

Anchor Standards	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this
	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:
Writing Opinion MCCRS 1	 Demonstrate a full and complete understanding of ideas in the texts by providing an accurate analysis supported with effective and convincing textual evidence. State opinions on topics or texts, and effectively supports a point of view with reasons and information. Develop clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. Present ideas clearly and logically from beginning to end; there are strong connections between and among ideas. 	 Demonstrate an adequate understanding of ideas in the texts by providing a mostly accurate analysis supported with adequate textual evidence. State opinions on topics or texts, and supports a point of view with mostly accurate reasons and information. Develop mostly clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are mostly appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. Present ideas from beginning to end; there are connections between and among ideas. 	 Demonstrate basic understanding of ideas in the texts by providing a generally accurate analysis supported with basic textual evidence. State opinions on topics or texts, and generally supports a point of view with some reasons and information. Develop generally clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are generally appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. Present generally clear and logical ideas; there are general connections between and among ideas. 	 Demonstrate limited understanding of ideas stated in the texts by providing a minimally accurate analysis supported with limited textual evidence. State opinions on topics or texts with limited support. Develop minimally coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are limited to task, purpose, and audience. Present limited ideas; there are minimally effective connections between and among ideas.

Anchor Standards	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this
	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:
Writing Informative/ Explanatory MCCRS 2	 Demonstrate a full and complete understanding of ideas in the texts by providing an accurate analysis supported with effective and convincing textual evidence. Examine a topic and conveys ideas and information accurately and clearly. Develop clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. Present ideas clearly and logically from beginning to end; there are strong connections between and among ideas. 	 Demonstrate an adequate understanding of ideas in the texts by providing a mostly accurate analysis supported with adequate textual evidence. Examine a topic and conveys ideas and information clearly. Develop mostly clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are mostly appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. Present ideas from beginning to end; there are connections between and among ideas. 	 Demonstrate basic understanding of ideas in the texts by providing a generally accurate analysis supported with basic textual evidence. Examine a topic and generally conveys ideas and information. Develop generally clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are generally appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. Present generally clear and logical ideas; there are general connections between and among ideas. 	 Demonstrate limited understanding of ideas stated in the texts by providing a minimally accurate analysis supported with limited textual evidence. Show limited examination of the topic and minimally conveys ideas and information. Show limited development of writing in which the development, organization, and style are limited to task, purpose, and audience. Present limited ideas; there are minimally effective connections between and among ideas.

Anchor Standards	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this
	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:
Writing Narrative	•Develop experiences or	•Develop experiences or	•Develop experiences or	•Develop limited experiences
	events using effective	events using techniques,	events using generally	or events using minimally
	techniques, well-chosen	details, and structured event	effective techniques, details,	effective techniques, details,
MCCRS 3	details, and well-structured event sequences. •Develop clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. •Use narrative techniques to effectively develop an event sequence. •Include an effective thematic or topical link to the sources which enhances the narrative. •Use words and phrases and sensory details to convey experiences and events precisely.	 sequences. Develop mostly clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are mostly appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. Use narrative techniques to develop an event sequence. Include a thematic or topical link to the sources which supports the narrative. Use words and phrases and sensory details to convey experiences and events. 	 and event sequences. Develop generally clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are generally appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. Use some narrative techniques that are somewhat effective in developing an event sequence. Include a somewhat developed thematic or topical link to the sources which somewhat supports the narrative. Use somewhat effective language and details to convey the experiences and events. 	 and event sequences. Develop minimally coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style to task, purpose, and audience are limited. Use minimal narrative techniques and is limited in its development of events. Include a minimally effective thematic or topical link to the sources which limits the narrative. Use limited language and details in an attempt to convey the experiences and events.

Reporting Category/Strand: Knowledge of Language and Conventions

Students write effectively, following conventions of standard English, applying sentence variety, and using appropriate mechanics.

Items designed to measure the *knowledge of language and conventions in writing claim* on the MCAP ELA/L Assessment may address the evidence statements listed below.

The student's response:

- Demonstrates command of the conventions of standard English at the appropriate level of complexity.
- Forms and controls a variety of sentences.
- Provides clarity by avoiding errors in grammar and mechanics.

Anchor Standards	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this
	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:
Language	•Demonstrate full command	•Demonstrate command of	•Demonstrate basic command	•Demonstrate minimal
	of the conventions of	the conventions of standard	of the conventions of	command of the conventions
	standard English.	English.	standard English.	of standard English.
MCCRS 1-3	 Use complex grade- appropriate writing mechanics, including spelling, punctuation, and capitalization with few or no errors. Use a variety of well-formed and effectively controlled sentence structures. Produce writing with little to no errors in grammar and usage, and overall meaning is clear. 	 Use grade-appropriate writing mechanics, including spelling, punctuation, and capitalization with few errors. Use varied and mostly controlled sentence structures. Produce writing with some errors in grammar and usage, but overall meaning is generally clear. 	 Use limited grade- appropriate writing mechanics, including the misspelling of common words, and some errors in punctuation and capitalization. Use a limited variety and basic control of sentence structures. Produce writing with errors in grammar and usage that may occasionally impede understanding. 	 Use minimal grade- appropriate writing mechanics, including the frequent misspelling of common words, and substantial errors in punctuation and capitalization. Use a minimal variety and inconsistent control of sentence structures. Produce writing with frequent errors in grammar and usage that often impede understanding.

Developed and produced by the MSDE Office of English/Language Arts, Division of Curriculum, Instructional Improvement, and Professional Learning and the Division of Assessment, Accountability, and Information Technology. DRAFT August 2021