

ELA/L 3

PERFORMANCE LEVEL DESCRIPTORS



Policy Level Performance Level Definitions

For each grade level or course, there are students performing along a proficiency continuum with regard to the skills and knowledge necessary to meet the demands of the Maryland College and Career Ready Standards (MCCRS). The Maryland Comprehensive Assessment Program (MCAP) is designed to align student performance with one of four proficiency levels. These proficiency levels are in the table below:

MCAP Policy Performance Level Descriptors				
4				
3				
2				
1				

Performance Level Descriptions

Performance level Descriptions (PLDs) describe the range of knowledge and skills students should demonstrate at a given performance level.

How are the PLDs used in Assessment?

PLDs are essential in setting standards for the MCAP assessments. Standard setting panelists use PLDs to determine the threshold expectations for students to demonstrate the knowledge and skills necessary to attain just barely a level 2, level 3, or level 4 on the assessment. These discussions then influence the panelists in establishing cut scores for the assessment. PLDs are also used to inform item development, as each test needs questions that distinguish performance all along the continuum.

How can the PLDs be used in instruction?

PLDs help communicate to students, families, educators and the public the specific knowledge and skills expected of students to demonstrate proficiency and can serve a number of purposes in classroom instruction. They are the foundation of rich discussion around what students need to do to perform at higher levels and to explain the progression of learning within a subject and grade level. We encourage the use of the PLDs for a variety of purposes, such as differentiating instruction to maximize individual student outcomes, creating classroom assessments and rubrics to help in identifying target performance levels for individual or groups of students, and tracking student growth along the proficiency continuum as described by the PLDs.

The MCAP English Language Arts/Literacy Range Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs)

The MCAP English Language Arts/Literacy Range Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs) align with the MCAP Policy PLDs and describe the expectations for students across each standard and proficiency level. The English Language Arts/Literacy Range PLDs reflect the knowledge, skills, and processes that are expected of students at each level.

Text Complexity:

The Maryland College and Career Ready Standards and Common Core State Standards indicate that 50 percent of an item's complexity is linked to the complexity of the text(s) used as the stimulus for that item. Consequently, to determine students' performance levels, it is critical to identify the pattern of responses when students respond to items linked to passages with distinct text complexities. To this end, a clear and consistent model has been developed to define text complexity and the use of three text complexity levels has been determined: readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex. For more information on text complexity, refer to the CCSS Appendix A (http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy) and Appendix B (http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy).

The two components for determining text complexity for all passages are as follows:

- a. Two technology-based quantitative text complexity tools (Lexile and Flesch-Kincaid) will be used to analyze all reading passages to determine an initial recommendation for placement of a text into a grade band and subsequently a grade level.
- b. Text Complexity Analysis Worksheets, one for informational text and one for literary text, are then used to determine qualitative measures. Trained evaluators use these worksheets to determine a recommendation for qualitative text complexity within the grade level, with each text defined as readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex.

For multimedia texts, qualitative judgments from one, two, or all three of the "optional" categories in the Text Complexity Analysis Worksheet will be combined with judgments in the other categories to make a holistic determination of the complexity of the material.

Reporting Categories/Strands: Reading Literature, Reading Informational, & Vocabulary

Students read and demonstrate comprehension of grade-level text. Students use context to determine the meaning of words and phrases.

Reporting Category/Strand: Written Expression

Students write effectively when using and/or analyzing sources.

Reporting Category/Strand: Knowledge of Language and Conventions

Students write effectively, following conventions of standard English, applying sentence variety, and using appropriate mechanics.

Reporting Category/Strand: Reading Foundational Skills

Students demonstrate working knowledge of phonics and word recognition.

Items designed to measure the *reading foundational skills claim* on the MCAP ELA/L Assessment may address the evidence statements listed below.

The student's answer:

- •Demonstrates the ability to decode, analyze, and define multisyllabic words with common prefixes and suffixes.
- •Demonstrates the ability to divide multisyllabic words into syllables.

Anchor Standards	Level 4 In reading foundational skills, a student at this level exhibits an ability to:	Level 3 In reading foundational skills, a student at this level exhibits an ability to:	Level 2 In reading foundational skills, a student at this level exhibits an ability to:	Level 1 In reading foundational skills, a student at this level exhibits an ability to:
Reading Foundational Skills MCCRS 3	Demonstrate an extensive understanding of grade-level phonics and word recognition when decoding, analyzing, and defining multisyllabic words with common prefixes and suffixes and dividing multisyllabic words into syllables.	Demonstrate a <u>satisfactory</u> understanding of grade-level phonics and word recognition when decoding, analyzing, and defining multisyllabic words with common prefixes and suffixes and dividing multisyllabic words into syllables.	Demonstrate a <u>partial</u> understanding of grade-level phonics and word recognition when decoding, analyzing, and defining multisyllabic words with common prefixes and suffixes and dividing multisyllabic words into syllables.	Demonstrate a minimal understanding of grade-level phonics and word recognition when decoding, analyzing, and defining multisyllabic words with common prefixes and suffixes and dividing multisyllabic words into syllables.

Reporting Categories/Strands: Reading Literature, Reading Informational, & Vocabulary

Students read and demonstrate comprehension of grade-level text. Students use context to determine the meaning of words and phrases.

Items designed to measure the *reading literature claim* on the MCAP ELA/L Assessment may address the evidence statements listed below.

The student's answer:

- Provides questions and/or answers that show understanding of a text, referring explicitly to the text as the basis for the answers.
- Provides a recounting of stories, including fables, folktales, and myths from diverse cultures.
- Provides a statement of the central message, lesson, or moral in a text.
- Provides an explanation of how a central message, lesson, or moral is conveyed through details in a text.
- Provides a description of characters in a story (e.g., their traits, motivations, or feelings).
- Provides an explanation of how characters' actions contribute to the sequence of events.
- Provides references to parts of stories, dramas, and poems when writing about a text, using terms such as chapter, scene, and stanza.
- Provides a description of how each successive part of a text builds on earlier sections.
- Provides an explanation of how a specific aspect of a text's illustrations contribute to what is conveyed by the words in a story (e.g., create mood, emphasize an aspect of a character or setting).
- Provides a comparison and contrast of the themes of stories written by the same author about the same or similar characters (e.g., in books from a series).
- Provides a comparison and contrast of the settings of stories written by the same author about the same or similar characters (e.g., in books from a series).
- Provides a comparison and contrast of the plots of stories written by the same author about the same or similar characters (e.g., in books from a series).

Items designed to measure the **reading informational claim** on the MCAP ELA/L Assessment may address the evidence statements listed below.

The student's answer:

- Provides questions and answers that show understanding of a text, referring explicitly to the text as the basis for the answers.
- Provides a statement of the main idea of a text.
- Provides a recounting of key details in a text.
- Provides an explanation of how key details in a text support the main idea.
- Provides a description of the relationship between a series of historical events, using language that pertains to time, sequence and/or cause/effect.
- Provides a description of the relationship between scientific ideas or concepts, using language that pertains to time, sequence and/or cause/effect.
- Provides a description of the relationship between steps in technical procedures in a text, using language that pertains to time, sequence and/or cause/effect.
- Demonstrates use of text features to locate relevant information (e.g., key words, sidebars).

- Demonstrates use of search tools to locate relevant information (e.g., key words, sidebars, hyperlinks).
- Demonstrates use of information gained from illustrations (e.g., maps, photographs) and words in a text to show understanding of the text (e.g., where, when, why, and how key events occur).
- Provides a description of the logical connection between particular sentences and paragraphs in a text (e.g., comparison, cause/effect, first/second/third in a sequence).
- Provides a comparison and contrast of the most important points and/or key details presented in two texts on the same topic.

Items designed to measure the **vocabulary interpretation and use claim** on the MCAP ELA/L Assessment may address the evidence statements listed below.

The student's answer:

- Demonstrates the ability to determine the meaning of words and phrases as they are used in a text.
- Demonstrates the ability to determine the meaning of general academic words or phrases in a text relevant to a grade 3 topic or subject area.
- Demonstrates the ability to determine the meaning of domain-specific words and phrases in a text relevant to grade 3 topic or subject area.
- Demonstrates the ability to determine the meaning of words and phrases, using sentence-level context as a clue to the meaning of a word or phrase.
- Demonstrates the ability to determine the meaning of the new word formed when a known affix is added to a known word.
- Demonstrates the ability to use a known root words as a clue to the meaning of an unknown word with the same root.
- Provides distinctions between the literal and nonliteral meanings of words and phrases.
- Demonstrates the ability to identify real-life connections between words and their use.
- Provides distinction(s) between shades of meaning among related words that describe states of mind or degrees of certainty.

Anchor Standards	Level 4 In reading, the pattern exhibited by student	Level 3 In reading, the pattern exhibited by student	Level 2 In reading, the pattern exhibited by student	Level 1 In reading, the pattern exhibited by student
	responses indicates:	responses indicates:	responses indicates:	responses indicates:
Reading Literature	With very complex text, students demonstrate a mostly <u>accurate</u>	With very complex text, students demonstrate a generally accurate	With very complex text, students demonstrate a very limited understanding and	With very complex text, students demonstrate very little or no understanding and
MCCRS 1-7, & 9	understanding and analysis of the text, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit	understanding and analysis of the text, showing general understanding of the text when referring to explicit	analysis of the text, showing very limited understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in	analysis of the text, showing little or no understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples
Reading Informational	details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.	details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.	the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text. • With moderately complex	in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text. • With moderately complex
MCCRS 1-9	With moderately complex text, students demonstrate an accurate understanding and analysis of the text, showing	With moderately complex text, students demonstrate a generally accurate understanding and analysis	text, students demonstrate a limited understanding and analysis of the text, showing limited understanding of the	text, students demonstrate little to no understanding and analysis of the text, showing little to no understanding of
Language	understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the	of the text, showing general understanding of the text when referring to explicit	text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting	the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when
MCCRS 4-5	text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text. • With readily accessible text, students demonstrate an extensive understanding and analysis of the text, showing full understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.	details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text. • With readily accessible text, demonstrate a satisfactory understanding and analysis of the text, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.	sound inferences drawn from the text. • With readily accessible text, students demonstrate a partial understanding and analysis of the text, showing partial understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.	supporting sound inferences drawn from the text. • With readily accessible text, students demonstrate a minimally accurate analysis of the text, showing minimal understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.

Reporting Category/Strand: Written Expression

Students write effectively when using and/or analyzing sources.

Items designed to measure the written expression claim on the MCAP ELA/L Assessment may address the evidence statements listed below.

The student's response:

- Demonstrates a full and complete comprehension of ideas in the texts by providing an accurate analysis supported with effective and convincing textual evidence
- States opinions on topics or texts, and effectively supports a point of view with reasons and information.
- Develops clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience.
- Presents ideas clearly and logically from beginning to end; there are strong connections between and among ideas.
- Examines a topic and conveys ideas and information accurately and clearly.
- Uses narrative techniques to effectively develop an event sequence.
- Includes an effective thematic or topical link to the sources which enhances the narrative.
- Uses words and phrases to signal event order.

A Ot	1 1 4	110	110	114
Anchor Standards	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this
	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:
		Tovor eximens arr ability to:	Tovor oximone arrability to:	Tovor exmone an ability to:
	•Demonstrate a full and	•Demonstrate an adequate	•Demonstrate basic	•Demonstrate limited
Writing Opinion	complete understanding of	understanding of ideas in the	understanding of ideas in the	understanding of ideas stated
Willing Opinion	ideas in the texts by providing	texts by providing a mostly	texts by providing a generally	in the texts by providing a
	an accurate analysis	accurate analysis supported	accurate analysis supported	minimally accurate analysis
MCCRS 1	ı		, , ,	
	supported with effective and	with adequate textual	with basic textual evidence.	supported with limited textual
ļ	convincing textual evidence.	evidence.	•State opinions on topics or	evidence.
ļ	•State opinions on topics or	•State opinions on topics or	texts, and generally supports	•State opinions on topics or
ļ	texts, and effectively supports	texts, and supports a point of	a point of view with some	texts with limited support.
ļ	a point of view with reasons	view with mostly accurate	reasons and information.	 Develop minimally coherent
	and information.	reasons and information.	 Develop generally clear and 	writing in which the
	•Develop clear and coherent	•Develop mostly clear and	coherent writing in which the	development, organization,
	writing in which the	coherent writing in which the	development, organization,	and style are limited to task,
	development, organization,	development, organization,	and style are generally	purpose, and audience.
	and style are appropriate to	and style are mostly	appropriate to task, purpose,	•Present limited ideas; there
			and audience.	The state of the s
	task, purpose, and audience.	appropriate to task, purpose,		are minimally effective
	•Present ideas clearly and	and audience.	Present generally clear and	connections between and
	logically from beginning to	 Present ideas from beginning 	logical ideas; there are	among ideas.
,	end; there are strong	to end; there are connections	general connections between	
	connections between and	between and among ideas.	and among ideas.	
	among ideas.			

Anchor Standards	Level 4 In writing, a student at this level exhibits an ability to: •Demonstrate a full and	Level 3 In writing, a student at this level exhibits an ability to: •Demonstrate an adequate	Level 2 In writing, a student at this level exhibits an ability to: •Demonstrate basic	Level 1 In writing, a student at this level exhibits an ability to: •Demonstrate limited
Writing Informative/ Explanatory MCCRS 2	complete understanding of ideas in the texts by providing an accurate analysis supported with effective and convincing textual evidence. •Examine a topic and conveys ideas and information accurately and clearly. •Develop clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. •Present ideas clearly and logically from beginning to end; there are strong connections between and among ideas.	understanding of ideas in the texts by providing a mostly accurate analysis supported with adequate textual evidence. •Examine a topic and conveys ideas and information clearly. •Develop mostly clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are mostly appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. •Present ideas from beginning to end; there are connections between and among ideas.	understanding of ideas in the texts by providing a generally accurate analysis supported with basic textual evidence. •Examine a topic and generally conveys ideas and information. •Develop generally clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are generally appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. •Present generally clear and logical ideas; there are general connections between and among ideas.	understanding of ideas stated in the texts by providing a minimally accurate analysis supported with limited textual evidence. •Show limited examination of the topic and minimally conveys ideas and information. •Show limited development of writing in which the development, organization, and style are limited to task, purpose, and audience. •Present limited ideas; there are minimally effective connections between and among ideas.

Anchor Standards	Level 4 In writing, a student at this level exhibits an ability to: •Develop experiences or	Level 3 In writing, a student at this level exhibits an ability to: •Develop experiences or	Level 2 In writing, a student at this level exhibits an ability to: •Develop experiences or	Level 1 In writing, a student at this level exhibits an ability to: •Develop limited experiences
Writing Narrative MCCRS 3	events using effective techniques, well-chosen details, and well-structured	events using techniques, details, and structured event sequences.	events using generally effective techniques, details, and event sequences.	or events using minimally effective techniques, details, and event sequences.
WICCRS 3	event sequences. •Develop clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. •Use narrative techniques to effectively develop an event sequence. •Include an effective topical link to the sources which enhances the narrative. •Use effective words and phrases to signal event order.	Develop mostly clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are mostly appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. Use narrative techniques to develop an event sequence. Include a topical link to the sources which supports the narrative. Use words and phrases and to signal event order.	 Develop generally clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are generally appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. Use some narrative techniques that are somewhat effective in developing an event sequence. Include a topical link to the sources which somewhat supports the narrative. Use somewhat effective language to signal event order. 	Develop minimally coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style to task, purpose, and audience are limited. Use minimal narrative techniques and is limited in its development of events. Include a topical link to the sources which is limited in its appropriateness. Use minimal language to signal event order.

Reporting Category/Strand: Knowledge of Language and Conventions

Students write effectively, following conventions of standard English, applying sentence variety, and using appropriate mechanics.

Items designed to measure the **knowledge of language and conventions in writing claim** on the MCAP ELA/L Assessment may address the evidence statements listed below.

The student's response:

- Demonstrates command of the conventions of standard English at the appropriate level of complexity.
- Forms and controls a variety of sentences.
- Provides clarity by avoiding errors in grammar and mechanics.

Anchor Standards	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this
	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:
	•Demonstrate full command	•Demonstrate command of	•Demonstrate basic command	Demonstrate minimal
Language	of the conventions of	the conventions of standard	of the conventions of	command of the conventions
	standard English.	English.	standard English.	of standard English.
MCCRS 1-3	•Use complex grade-	•Use grade-appropriate	•Use limited grade-	•Use minimal grade-
	appropriate writing	writing mechanics, including	appropriate writing	appropriate writing
	mechanics, including spelling,	spelling, punctuation, and	mechanics, including the	mechanics, including the
	punctuation, and	capitalization with few errors.	misspelling of common	frequent misspelling of
	capitalization with few or no	 Use varied and mostly 	words, and some errors in	common words, and
	errors.	controlled sentence	punctuation and	substantial errors in
	•Use a variety of well-formed	structures.	capitalization.	punctuation and
	and effectively controlled	•Produce writing with some	•Use a limited variety and	capitalization.
	sentence structures.	errors in grammar and usage,	basic control of sentence	•Use a minimal variety and
	•Produce writing with little to	but overall meaning is	structures.	inconsistent control of
	no errors in grammar and	generally clear.	•Produce writing with errors in	sentence structures.
	usage, and overall meaning is		grammar and usage that may	•Produce writing with frequent
	clear.		occasionally impede	errors in grammar and usage
			understanding.	that often impede
				understanding.

Developed and produced by the MSDE Office of English/Language Arts, Division of Curriculum, Instructional Improvement, and Professional Learning and the Division of Assessment, Accountability, and Information Technology. DRAFT August 2021