

# ELA/L 7

## **PERFORMANCE LEVEL DESCRIPTORS**

Maryland State Department of Education



#### Policy Level Performance Level Definitions

For each grade level or course, there are students performing along a proficiency continuum with regard to the skills and knowledge necessary to meet the demands of the Maryland College and Career Ready Standards (MCCRS). The Maryland Comprehensive Assessment Program (MCAP) is designed to align student performance with one of four proficiency levels. These proficiency levels are in the table below:

| MCAP Policy Performance Level Descriptors |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| 4   |  |  |  |
| 3   |  |  |  |
| 2   |  |  |  |
| 1   |  |  |  |

#### **Performance Level Descriptions**

Performance level Descriptions (PLDs) describe the range of knowledge and skills students should demonstrate at a given performance level.

#### How are the PLDs used in Assessment?

PLDs are essential in setting standards for the MCAP assessments. Standard setting panelists use PLDs to determine the threshold expectations for students to demonstrate the knowledge and skills necessary to attain just barely a level 2, level 3, or level 4 on the assessment. These discussions then influence the panelists in establishing cut scores for the assessment. PLDs are also used to inform item development, as each test needs questions that distinguish performance all along the continuum.

#### How can the PLDs be used in instruction?

PLDs help communicate to students, families, educators and the public the specific knowledge and skills expected of students to demonstrate proficiency and can serve a number of purposes in classroom instruction. They are the foundation of rich discussion around what students need to do to perform at higher levels and to explain the progression of learning within a subject and grade level. We encourage the use of the PLDs for a variety of purposes, such as differentiating instruction to maximize individual student outcomes, creating classroom assessments and rubrics to help in identifying target performance levels for individual or groups of students, and tracking student growth along the proficiency continuum as described by the PLDs.

## The MCAP English Language Arts/Literacy Range Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs)

The MCAP English Language Arts/Literacy Range Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs) align with the MCAP Policy PLDs and describe the expectations for students across each standard and proficiency level. The English Language Arts/Literacy Range PLDs reflect the knowledge, skills, and processes that are expected of students at each level.

#### Text Complexity:

The Maryland College and Career Ready Standards and Common Core State Standards indicate that 50 percent of an item's complexity is linked to the complexity of the text(s) used as the stimulus for that item. Consequently, to determine students' performance levels, it is critical to identify the pattern of responses when students respond to items linked to passages with distinct text complexities. To this end, a clear and consistent model has been developed to define text complexity and the use of three text complexity levels has been determined: readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex. For more information on text complexity, refer to the CCSS Appendix A (http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy) and Appendix B (http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy).

The two components for determining text complexity for all passages are as follows:

a. Two technology-based quantitative text complexity tools (Lexile and Flesch-Kincaid) will be used to analyze all reading passages to determine an initial recommendation for placement of a text into a grade band and subsequently a grade level.

b. Text Complexity Analysis Worksheets, one for informational text and one for literary text, are then used to determine qualitative measures. Trained evaluators use these worksheets to determine a recommendation for qualitative text complexity within the grade level, with each text defined as readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex.

For multimedia texts, qualitative judgments from one, two, or all three of the "optional" categories in the Text Complexity Analysis Worksheet will be combined with judgments in the other categories to make a holistic determination of the complexity of the material.

#### Reporting Categories/Strands: Reading Literature, Reading Informational, & Vocabulary

Students read and demonstrate comprehension of grade-level text. Students use context to determine the meaning of words and phrases.

#### **Reporting Category/Strand: Written Expression**

Students write effectively when using and/or analyzing sources.

#### Reporting Category/Strand: Knowledge of Language and Conventions

Students write effectively, following conventions of standard English, applying sentence variety, and using appropriate mechanics.

#### Reporting Categories/Strands: Reading Literature, Reading Informational, & Vocabulary

#### Students read and demonstrate comprehension of grade-level text. Students use context to determine the meaning of words and phrases.

Items designed to measure the *reading literature claim* on the MCAP ELA/L Assessment may address the evidence statements listed below.

The student's answer:

- Provides citation of several pieces of textual evidence to support analysis of what the text says explicitly and/or inferences drawn from the text.
- Provides a statement of a theme or central idea of a text.
- Provides an analysis of the development of the theme or central idea over the course of the text.
- Provides an objective summary of the text.
- Provides an analysis of how particular elements of a story or drama interact.
- Provides an analysis of how a drama's or poem's form or structure contributes to meaning.
- Provides an analysis of how an author develops and contrasts the points of view of different characters or narrators in a text.
- Provides a comparison and contrast of a written story, drama, or poem to its audio, filmed, staged, or multimedia version, including an analysis of the effects of techniques unique to each medium.

Items designed to measure the *reading informational claim* on the MCAP ELA/L Assessment may address the evidence statements listed below.

The student's answer:

- Provides several pieces of textual evidence to support analysis of what the text says explicitly and/or inferences drawn from the text.
- Provides textual evidence to support an analysis of science and/or technical texts or historical primary and/or secondary sources.
- Provides a statement of the central idea(s) of a text.
- Provides an analysis of the development of central idea(s) over the course of the text.
- Provides an objective summary of the text.
- Determines the central ideas or conclusions of a text.
- Determines the central ideas of a primary or secondary source.
- Provides an analysis of the interactions between individuals, events, and/or ideas in a text (e.g., how ideas influence individuals or events, or how individuals influence ideas or events).
- Demonstrates an ability to follow a multistep procedure when carrying out experiments, taking measurements, or performing technical tasks.
- Provides an identification of key steps in a text's description of a process related to history/social studies.
- Provides an analysis of the structure an author uses to organize a text, including how the major sections contribute to the whole and to the development of the ideas.
- Provides an analysis of the structure an author uses to organize a text, including how major sections contribute to the whole and to an understanding of the topic.
- Provides a description of how a text presents information (e.g. sequentially, comparatively, causally).
- Provides a statement of an author's point of view in a text.
- Provides a statement of an author's purpose in a text.

- Provides an analysis of how the author distinguishes his or her position from that of others.
- Provides an analysis of the author's purpose in providing an explanation, describing a procedure, or discussing an experiment in a text.
- Provides an identification of aspects of a text that reveal an author's point of view (e.g. loaded language, inclusion or avoidance of particular facts).
- Provides an identification of aspects of a text that reveal an author's purpose (e.g. loaded language, inclusion or avoidance of particular facts).
- Provides a comparison and contrast of a text to an audio, video, or multimedia version of the text.
- Provides an analysis of each medium's portrayal of the subject (e.g., how the delivery of a speech affects the impact of the words).
- Provides an integration of quantitative information expressed in words in a text with a version of that information expressed visually (e.g., in a flowchart, diagram, model, graph, or table).
- Demonstrates an integration of technical information expressed in words in a text with a version of that information expressed visually (e.g., in a flowchart, diagram, model, graph, or table).
- Provides integration of visual information (e.g., in charts, graphs, photographs, videos, or maps) with other information in print and digital texts.
- Demonstrates the ability to trace an argument and specific claims in a text.
- Provides an evaluation of whether the reasoning is sound in an argument.
- Provides an evaluation of whether the evidence is relevant and sufficient to support the claims.
- Provides distinctions among facts, reasoned judgment based on research findings, and/or speculation in a text.
- Provides distinctions made among fact, opinion, and/or reasoned judgment in a text.
- Provides an analysis of how two or more authors writing about the same topic shape their presentations of key information by emphasizing different evidence.
- Provides an analysis of how two or more authors writing about the same topic shape their presentations of key information by advancing different interpretations of facts.
- Provides a comparison and contrast of the information gained from experiments, simulations, video or multimedia sources with that gained from reading a text on the same topic.
- Provides an analysis of the relationship between a primary and secondary source on the same topic.

Items designed to measure the *vocabulary interpretation and use claim* on the MCAP ELA/L Assessment may address the evidence statements listed below.

The student's answer:

- Demonstrates the ability to determine the meaning of words and phrases as they are used in a text (e.g., figurative, connotative) and/ or provides an analysis of the impact of rhymes and other repetitions of sounds (e.g., alliteration) on a specific verse or stanza of a poem or section of a story or drama.
- Demonstrate the ability to determine the meaning of words and phrases as they are used in a text (e.g., figurative, connotative, technical) and/or provides an analysis of the impact of specific word choice on meaning and/or tone.
- Demonstrates the ability to determine the symbols and key terms that are used in a specific scientific or technical context relevant to grades 6–8 texts and topics.
- Demonstrates the ability to use context (e.g., the overall meaning of a sentence or paragraph; a word's position or function in a sentence) as a clue to the meaning of a word or phrase.
- Demonstrates ability to determine the connotations (associations) of words with similar denotations (definitions).
- Demonstrates ability to interpret figures of speech in context.
- Demonstrates the ability to determine the relationship between particular words.

| Anchor Standards                      | <b>Level 4</b><br>In reading, the pattern<br>exhibited by student<br>responses indicates:  | Level 3<br>In reading, the pattern<br>exhibited by student<br>responses indicates:   | Level 2<br>In reading, the pattern<br>exhibited by student<br>responses indicates:  | Level 1<br>In reading, the pattern<br>exhibited by student<br>responses indicates:  |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Reading Literature<br>MCCRS 1-7, & 9  | • With very complex text,<br>students demonstrate a<br><u>mostly accurate</u><br>understanding and analysis of<br>the text, showing<br>understanding of the text<br>when referring to explicit   | • With very complex text,<br>students demonstrate a<br><u>generally accurate</u><br>understanding and analysis<br>of the text, showing <u>general</u><br>understanding of the text<br>when referring to explicit   | • With very complex text,<br>students demonstrate a very<br>limited understanding and<br>analysis of the text, showing<br>very limited understanding of<br>the text when referring to<br>explicit details and examples in | • With very complex text,<br>students demonstrate very<br>little or no understanding and<br>analysis of the text, showing<br>little or no understanding of<br>the text when referring to<br>explicit details and examples         |
| Reading<br>Informational<br>MCCRS 1-9 | <ul> <li>details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.</li> <li>With moderately complex</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.</li> <li>With moderately complex</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.</li> <li>With <i>moderately complex text</i>, students demonstrate a</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>in the text and when</li> <li>supporting sound inferences</li> <li>drawn from the text.</li> <li>With moderately complex</li> <li>text, students demonstrate</li> </ul>  |
| Language                              | <i>text</i> , students demonstrate an <u>accurate</u> understanding and analysis of the text, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit   | <i>text</i> , students demonstrate a <u>generally accurate</u><br>understanding and analysis<br>of the text, showing <u>general</u><br>understanding of the text   | <u>limited</u> understanding and<br>analysis of the text, showing<br><u>limited</u> understanding of the<br>text when referring to explicit<br>details and examples in the  | <u>little to no</u> understanding and<br>analysis of the text, showing<br><u>little to no</u> understanding of<br>the text when referring to<br>explicit details and examples   |
| MCCRS 4-5                             | <ul> <li>details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.</li> <li>With <i>readily accessible text</i>, students demonstrate an</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>when referring to explicit</li> <li>details and examples in the</li> <li>text and when supporting</li> <li>sound inferences drawn from</li> <li>the text.</li> <li>With readily accessible</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.</li> <li>With <i>readily accessible text</i>, students demonstrate a <u>partial</u> understanding and analysis of</li> </ul>                      | <ul> <li>in the text and when</li> <li>supporting sound inferences</li> <li>drawn from the text.</li> <li>With <i>readily accessible text</i>,</li> <li>students demonstrate a</li> <li>minimally accurate analysis of</li> </ul> |
|                                       | extensive understanding and<br>analysis of the text, showing<br><u>full</u> understanding of the text<br>when referring to explicit<br>details and examples in the<br>text and when supporting<br>sound inferences drawn from<br>the text. | <i>text</i> , demonstrate a <u>satisfactory</u> understanding<br>and analysis of the text,<br>showing understanding of the<br>text when referring to explicit<br>details and examples in the<br>text and when supporting<br>sound inferences drawn from<br>the text. | the text, showing <u>partial</u><br>understanding of the text when<br>referring to explicit details and<br>examples in the text and when<br>supporting sound inferences<br>drawn from the text.                           | the text, showing <u>minimal</u><br>understanding of the text<br>when referring to explicit<br>details and examples in the<br>text and when supporting<br>sound inferences drawn from<br>the text.                                |

#### **Reporting Category/Strand: Written Expression**

#### Students write effectively when using and/or analyzing sources.

Items designed to measure the *written expression claim* on the MCAP ELA/L Assessment may address the evidence statements listed below.

#### The student's response:

- Demonstrates a full and complete understanding of ideas in the texts by providing an accurate analysis supported with effective and convincing textual evidence.
- States and supports claim(s) in an effective analysis of texts using effective reasoning and relevant evidence.
- Develops clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience.
- Presents ideas clearly and logically from beginning to end; there are strong connections between and among ideas.
- Acknowledges and addresses alternate or opposing claims in a clear and sound manner.
- Examines and conveys complex ideas, concepts, and information clearly and accurately through the effective selection, organization, and analysis of content.
- Develops experiences or events using effective techniques, well-chosen details, and well-structured event sequences.
- Is effectively developed with narrative techniques and creates an effective progression of experiences or events.
- Includes a well-developed thematic or topical link to the sources which enhances the narrative.
- Uses precise words, telling details, and sensory language to convey a vivid picture of the experiences, events, setting, and/or characters.

| Anchor Standards            | <b>Level 4</b>   | <b>Level 3</b>  | <b>Level 2</b>   | <b>Level 1</b>  |
|-----------------------------|--|---|--|---|
|                             | In writing, a student at this  | In writing, a student at this   | In writing, a student at this  | In writing, a student at this   |
|                             | level exhibits an ability to:  | level exhibits an ability to:   | level exhibits an ability to:  | level exhibits an ability to:   |
| Writing Argument<br>MCCRS 1 | <ul> <li>Demonstrate a full and<br/>complete understanding of<br/>ideas in the texts by providing<br/>an accurate analysis<br/>supported with effective and<br/>convincing textual evidence.</li> <li>State and support claim(s) in<br/>an effective analysis of texts<br/>using effective reasoning and<br/>relevant evidence.</li> <li>Develop clear and coherent<br/>writing in which the<br/>development, organization,<br/>and style are appropriate to<br/>task, purpose, and audience.</li> <li>Present ideas clearly and<br/>logically from beginning to<br/>end; there are strong<br/>connections between and<br/>among ideas.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Demonstrate an adequate<br/>understanding of ideas in the<br/>texts by providing a mostly<br/>accurate analysis supported<br/>with adequate textual<br/>evidence.</li> <li>State and support claim(s) in<br/>a mostly effective analysis of<br/>texts using mostly effective<br/>reasoning and evidence.</li> <li>Develop mostly clear and<br/>coherent writing in which the<br/>development, organization,<br/>and style are mostly<br/>appropriate to task, purpose,<br/>and audience.</li> <li>Present ideas from beginning<br/>to end; there are connections<br/>between and among ideas.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Demonstrate basic<br/>understanding of ideas in the<br/>texts by providing a generally<br/>accurate analysis supported<br/>with basic textual evidence.</li> <li>State and support claim(s)<br/>through a generally accurate<br/>analysis of texts using some<br/>reasoning and evidence.</li> <li>Develop generally clear and<br/>coherent writing in which the<br/>development, organization,<br/>and style are generally<br/>appropriate to task, purpose,<br/>and audience.</li> <li>Present generally clear and<br/>logical ideas; there are<br/>general connections between<br/>and among ideas.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Demonstrate limited<br/>understanding of ideas stated<br/>in the texts by providing a<br/>minimally accurate analysis<br/>supported with limited textual<br/>evidence.</li> <li>State and support claim(s)<br/>through a limited analysis of<br/>texts using limited reasoning<br/>and evidence.</li> <li>Develop minimally coherent<br/>writing in which the<br/>development, organization,<br/>and style are limited to task,<br/>purpose, and audience.</li> <li>Present limited ideas; there<br/>are minimally effective<br/>connections between and<br/>among ideas.</li> </ul> |

| Anchor Standards                               | Level 4   | Level 3   | Level 2   | Level 1  |
|--|---|---|---|--|
|  | In writing, a student at this   | In writing, a student at this   | In writing, a student at this   | In writing, a student at this  |
|  | level exhibits an ability to:   | level exhibits an ability to:   | level exhibits an ability to:   | level exhibits an ability to:  |
|  | •Demonstrate a full and   | •Demonstrate an adequate  | •Demonstrate basic  | •Demonstrate limited   |
| Writing Informative/<br>Explanatory<br>MCCRS 2 | complete understanding of<br>ideas in the texts by providing<br>an accurate analysis<br>supported with effective and<br>convincing textual evidence.<br>•Examine and convey<br>complex ideas, concepts, and<br>information clearly and<br>accurately through the<br>effective selection,<br>organization, and analysis of<br>content.<br>•Develop clear and coherent<br>writing in which the<br>development, organization,<br>and style are appropriate to<br>task, purpose, and audience.<br>•Present ideas clearly and<br>logically from beginning to<br>end; there are strong<br>connections between and<br>among ideas. | understanding of ideas in the<br>texts by providing a mostly<br>accurate analysis supported<br>with adequate textual<br>evidence.<br>•Examine and convey mostly<br>accurate ideas, concepts, and<br>information through the<br>selection, organization, and<br>analysis of content.<br>•Develop mostly clear and<br>coherent writing in which the<br>development, organization,<br>and style are mostly<br>appropriate to task, purpose,<br>and audience.<br>•Present ideas from beginning<br>to end; there are connections<br>between and among ideas. | understanding of ideas in the<br>texts by providing a generally<br>accurate analysis supported<br>with basic textual evidence.<br>•Examine and convey<br>generally accurate ideas,<br>concepts, and information<br>through the generally<br>accurate selection,<br>organization, and analysis of<br>content.<br>•Develop generally clear and<br>coherent writing in which the<br>development, organization,<br>and style are generally<br>appropriate to task, purpose,<br>and audience.<br>•Present generally clear and<br>logical ideas; there are<br>general connections between<br>and among ideas. | understanding of ideas stated<br>in the texts by providing a<br>minimally accurate analysis<br>supported with limited textual<br>evidence.<br>•Examine and convey<br>minimally accurate ideas,<br>concepts, and information<br>through the minimally<br>effective selection,<br>organization, and analysis of<br>content.<br>•Show limited development of<br>writing in which the<br>development, organization,<br>and style are limited to task,<br>purpose, and audience.<br>•Present limited ideas; there<br>are minimally effective<br>connections between and<br>among ideas. |

| Anchor Standards  | <b>Level 4</b>   | <b>Level 3</b>  | <b>Level 2</b>   | <b>Level 1</b>  |
|-------------------|--|---|--|---|
|                   | In writing, a student at this  | In writing, a student at this   | In writing, a student at this  | In writing, a student at this   |
|                   | level exhibits an ability to:  | level exhibits an ability to:   | level exhibits an ability to:  | level exhibits an ability to:   |
| Writing Narrative | •Develop experiences or  | •Develop experiences or   | •Develop experiences or  | •Develop limited experiences  |
|                   | events using effective   | events using techniques,  | events using generally   | or events using minimally   |
|                   | techniques, well-chosen  | details, and structured event   | effective techniques, details,   | effective techniques, details,  |
| MCCRS 3           | techniques, well-chosen<br>details, and well-structured<br>event sequences.<br>•Develop clear and coherent<br>writing in which the<br>development, organization,<br>and style are appropriate to<br>task, purpose, and audience.<br>•Develop experiences or<br>events effectively with<br>narrative techniques and<br>create an effective<br>progression of experiences or<br>events.<br>•Include a well-developed<br>thematic or topical link to the<br>sources which enhances the<br>narrative.<br>•Use precise words, telling<br>details, and sensory language<br>to convey a vivid picture of<br>the experiences, events,<br>setting, and/or characters. | <ul> <li>details, and structured event<br/>sequences.</li> <li>Develop mostly clear and<br/>coherent writing in which the<br/>development, organization,<br/>and style are mostly<br/>appropriate to task, purpose,<br/>and audience.</li> <li>Develop experiences or<br/>events with narrative<br/>techniques and create a<br/>mostly coherent progression<br/>of experiences or events.</li> <li>Include a thematic or topical<br/>link to the sources which<br/>supports the narrative.</li> <li>Use words, telling details,<br/>and sensory language to<br/>convey a picture of the<br/>experiences, events, setting,<br/>and/or characters.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>effective techniques, details, and event sequences.</li> <li>Develop generally clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are generally appropriate to task, purpose, and audience.</li> <li>Develop experiences or events with generally appropriate narrative techniques and create a somewhat coherent progression of experiences or events.</li> <li>Include a somewhat developed thematic or topical link to the sources which somewhat supports the narrative.</li> <li>Use somewhat effective details and language to convey the experiences, events, setting, and/or characters.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>effective techniques, details, and event sequences.</li> <li>Develop minimally coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style to task, purpose, and audience are limited.</li> <li>Develop experiences or events minimally with narrative techniques and create a limited progression of experiences or events.</li> <li>Include a minimally effective thematic or topical link to the sources which limits the narrative.</li> <li>Use limited details and language in an attempt to convey the experiences, events, setting, and/or characters.</li> </ul> |

#### Reporting Category/Strand: Knowledge of Language and Conventions

Students write effectively, following conventions of standard English, applying sentence variety, and using appropriate mechanics.

Items designed to measure the *knowledge of language and conventions in writing claim* on the MCAP ELA/L Assessment may address the evidence statements listed below.

The student's response:

- Demonstrates command of the conventions of standard English at the appropriate level of complexity.
- Forms and controls a variety of sentences.
- Provides clarity by avoiding errors in grammar and mechanics.

| Anchor Standards | <b>Level 4</b>   | <b>Level 3</b>   | <b>Level 2</b>   | <b>Level 1</b>  |
|------------------|--|--|--|---|
|                  | In writing, a student at this  | In writing, a student at this  | In writing, a student at this  | In writing, a student at this   |
|                  | level exhibits an ability to:  | level exhibits an ability to:  | level exhibits an ability to:  | level exhibits an ability to:   |
| Language         | •Demonstrate full command  | •Demonstrate command of  | •Demonstrate basic command   | •Demonstrate minimal  |
|                  | of the conventions of  | the conventions of standard  | of the conventions of  | command of the conventions  |
|                  | standard English.  | English.   | standard English.  | of standard English.  |
| MCCRS 1-3        | <ul> <li>Use complex grade-<br/>appropriate writing<br/>mechanics, including spelling,<br/>punctuation, and<br/>capitalization with few or no<br/>errors.</li> <li>Use a variety of well-formed<br/>and effectively controlled<br/>sentence structures.</li> <li>Produce writing with little to<br/>no errors in grammar and<br/>usage, and overall meaning is<br/>clear.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Use grade-appropriate<br/>writing mechanics, including<br/>spelling, punctuation, and<br/>capitalization with few errors.</li> <li>Use varied and mostly<br/>controlled sentence<br/>structures.</li> <li>Produce writing with some<br/>errors in grammar and usage,<br/>but overall meaning is<br/>generally clear.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Use limited grade-<br/>appropriate writing<br/>mechanics, including the<br/>misspelling of common<br/>words, and some errors in<br/>punctuation and<br/>capitalization.</li> <li>Use a limited variety and<br/>basic control of sentence<br/>structures.</li> <li>Produce writing with errors in<br/>grammar and usage that may<br/>occasionally impede<br/>understanding.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Use minimal grade-<br/>appropriate writing<br/>mechanics, including the<br/>frequent misspelling of<br/>common words, and<br/>substantial errors in<br/>punctuation and<br/>capitalization.</li> <li>Use a minimal variety and<br/>inconsistent control of<br/>sentence structures.</li> <li>Produce writing with frequent<br/>errors in grammar and usage<br/>that often impede<br/>understanding.</li> </ul> |

Developed and produced by the MSDE Office of English/Language Arts, Division of Curriculum, Instructional Improvement, and Professional Learning and the Division of Assessment, Accountability, and Information Technology. DRAFT August 2021