

ELA/L 8

PERFORMANCE LEVEL DESCRIPTORS

Maryland State Department of Education



Policy Level Performance Level Definitions

For each grade level or course, there are students performing along a proficiency continuum with regard to the skills and knowledge necessary to meet the demands of the Maryland College and Career Ready Standards (MCCRS). The Maryland Comprehensive Assessment Program (MCAP) is designed to align student performance with one of four proficiency levels. These proficiency levels are in the table below:

MCAP Policy Performance Level Descriptors			
4			
3			
2			
1			

Performance Level Descriptions

Performance level Descriptions (PLDs) describe the range of knowledge and skills students should demonstrate at a given performance level.

How are the PLDs used in Assessment?

PLDs are essential in setting standards for the MCAP assessments. Standard setting panelists use PLDs to determine the threshold expectations for students to demonstrate the knowledge and skills necessary to attain just barely a level 2, level 3, or level 4 on the assessment. These discussions then influence the panelists in establishing cut scores for the assessment. PLDs are also used to inform item development, as each test needs questions that distinguish performance all along the continuum.

How can the PLDs be used in instruction?

PLDs help communicate to students, families, educators and the public the specific knowledge and skills expected of students to demonstrate proficiency and can serve a number of purposes in classroom instruction. They are the foundation of rich discussion around what students need to do to perform at higher levels and to explain the progression of learning within a subject and grade level. We encourage the use of the PLDs for a variety of purposes, such as differentiating instruction to maximize individual student outcomes, creating classroom assessments and rubrics to help in identifying target performance levels for individual or groups of students, and tracking student growth along the proficiency continuum as described by the PLDs.

The MCAP English Language Arts/Literacy Range Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs)

The MCAP English Language Arts/Literacy Range Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs) align with the MCAP Policy PLDs and describe the expectations for students across each standard and proficiency level. The English Language Arts/Literacy Range PLDs reflect the knowledge, skills, and processes that are expected of students at each level.

Text Complexity:

The Maryland College and Career Ready Standards and Common Core State Standards indicate that 50 percent of an item's complexity is linked to the complexity of the text(s) used as the stimulus for that item. Consequently, to determine students' performance levels, it is critical to identify the pattern of responses when students respond to items linked to passages with distinct text complexities. To this end, a clear and consistent model has been developed to define text complexity and the use of three text complexity levels has been determined: readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex. For more information on text complexity, refer to the CCSS Appendix A (http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy) and Appendix B (http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy).

The two components for determining text complexity for all passages are as follows:

- a. Two technology-based quantitative text complexity tools (Lexile and Flesch-Kincaid) will be used to analyze all reading passages to determine an initial recommendation for placement of a text into a grade band and subsequently a grade level.
- b. Text Complexity Analysis Worksheets, one for informational text and one for literary text, are then used to determine qualitative measures. Trained evaluators use these worksheets to determine a recommendation for qualitative text complexity within the grade level, with each text defined as readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex.

For multimedia texts, qualitative judgments from one, two, or all three of the "optional" categories in the Text Complexity Analysis Worksheet will be combined with judgments in the other categories to make a holistic determination of the complexity of the material.

Reporting Categories/Strands: Reading Literature, Reading Informational, & Vocabulary

Students read and demonstrate comprehension of grade-level text. Students use context to determine the meaning of words and phrases.

Reporting Category/Strand: Written Expression

Students write effectively when using and/or analyzing sources.

Reporting Category/Strand: Knowledge of Language and Conventions

Students write effectively, following conventions of standard English, applying sentence variety, and using appropriate mechanics.

Reporting Categories/Strands: Reading Literature, Reading Informational, & Vocabulary

Students read and demonstrate comprehension of grade-level text. Students use context to determine the meaning of words and phrases.

Items designed to measure the *reading literature claim* on the MCAP ELA/L Assessment may address the evidence statements listed below.

The student's answer:

- Provides citation of several pieces of textual evidence to support analysis of what the text says explicitly and/or inferences drawn from the text.
- Provides a statement of a theme of a text, based on textual evidence.
- Provides an analysis of the development of the theme over the course of the text.
- Provides an analysis of how the theme relates to the characters, setting, and/or plot.
- Provides an objective summary of the text.
- Provides an analysis of how particular lines of dialogue or incidents in a story or drama propel the action.
- Provides an analysis of how particular lines of dialogue or incidents in a story or drama provoke a decision.
- Provides an analysis of how particular lines of dialogue or incidents in a story or drama reveal aspects of a character.
- Provides a comparison and contrast of the structure of two or more texts.
- Provides an analysis of how the differing structure of each text contributes to its meaning and style.
- Provides an analysis of how one or more differences in the points of view of the characters and the audience and/or reader (e.g. through the use of dramatic irony) create such effects as suspense or humor.
- Provides an analysis of the extent to which a filmed production of a story or drama stays faithful to or departs from the text or script, including an evaluation of the choices made by the director or actors.
- Provides an analysis of how a modern work of fiction draws on themes, patterns of events, and/or character types, including describing how the material is rendered new.

Items designed to measure the **reading informational claim** on the MCAP ELA/L Assessment may address the evidence statements listed below.

The student's answer:

- Provides textual evidence that most strongly supports analysis of what the text says explicitly and/or inferences drawn from the text.
- Provides textual evidence to support an analysis of science and/or technical texts or historical primary and/or secondary sources.
- Provides a statement of the central idea(s) of a text.
- Provides an analysis of the development of central idea(s) over the course of the text, including its relationship to supporting ideas.
- Provides an objective summary of the text.
- · Determines the central ideas or conclusions of a text.
- Determines the central ideas of a primary or secondary source.
- Provides an analysis of how a text makes connections among and distinctions between individuals, ideas, or events (e.g., through comparisons, analogies, or categories).

- Demonstrates an ability to follow a multistep procedure when carrying out experiments, taking measurements, or performing technical tasks.
- Provides an identification of key steps in a text's description of a process related to history/social studies.
- Provides a detailed analysis of the structure of a specific paragraph in a text, including the role of particular sentences in developing and refining a key concept.
- Provides an analysis of the structure an author uses to organize a text, including how major sections contribute to the whole and to an understanding of the topic.
- Provides a description of how a text presents information (e.g. sequentially, comparatively, causally).
- Provides a statement of an author's point of view in a text.
- Provides a statement of an author's purpose in a text.
- Provides an analysis of how the author acknowledges and responds to conflicting evidence and/or viewpoints.
- Provides an analysis of the author's purpose in providing an explanation, describing a procedure, or discussing an experiment in a text.
- Provides an identification of aspects of a text that reveal an author's point of view (e.g. loaded language, inclusion or avoidance of particular facts).
- Provides an identification of aspects of a text that reveal an author's purpose (e.g. loaded language, inclusion or avoidance of particular facts).
- Provides an evaluation of the advantages and disadvantages of using different mediums (e.g. print or digital text, video, multimedia) to present a particular topic or idea.
- Provides an integration of quantitative information expressed in words in a text with a version of that information expressed visually (e.g., in a flowchart, diagram, model, graph, or table).
- Demonstrates an integration of technical information expressed in words in a text with a version of that information expressed visually (e.g., in a flowchart, diagram, model, graph, or table).
- Provides integration of visual information (e.g., in charts, graphs, photographs, videos, or maps) with other information in print and digital texts.
- Provides a delineation of the argument and specific claims in a text.
- Provides an assessment of whether the reasoning of the argument is sound.
- Provides an evaluation of whether the evidence is relevant and sufficient to support the claims.
- Provides distinctions among facts, reasoned judgment based on research findings, and/or speculation in a text.
- Provides distinctions made among fact, opinion, and/or reasoned judgment in a text.
- Provides an analysis of a case in which two or more texts provide conflicting information on the same topic and identify where the texts disagree on matters of fact or interpretation.
- Provides a comparison and contrast of the information gained from experiments, simulations, video or multimedia sources with that gained from reading a
 text on the same topic.
- Provides an analysis of the relationship between a primary and secondary source on the same topic.

Items designed to measure the **vocabulary interpretation and use claim** on the MCAP ELA/L Assessment may address the evidence statements listed below.

The student's answer:

- Demonstrates the ability to determine the meaning of words and phrases as they are used in a text (e.g., figurative, connotative, technical) and/or provides an analysis of the impact of specific word choice on meaning and/or tone, including analogies or allusions to other texts.
- Demonstrates the ability to determine the symbols, key terms, and other domain-specific words and phrases that are used in a specific scientific or technical context relevant to grades 6–8 texts and topics.

- Demonstrates the ability to use context (e.g., the overall meaning of a sentence or paragraph; a word's position or function in a sentence) as a clue to the meaning of a word or phrase.
- Demonstrates ability to determine the connotations (associations) of words with similar denotations (definitions).
- Demonstrates ability to interpret figures of speech in context.
- Demonstrates the ability to determine the relationship between particular words.



Anchor Standards	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
	In reading, the pattern	In reading, the pattern	In reading, the pattern	In reading, the pattern
	exhibited by student	exhibited by student	exhibited by student	exhibited by student
	responses indicates:	responses indicates:	responses indicates:	responses indicates:
	• With very complex text,	• With very complex text,	With very complex text,	With very complex text,
Reading Literature	students demonstrate a	students demonstrate a	students demonstrate a <u>very</u>	students demonstrate <u>very</u>
	mostly accurate	generally accurate	<u>limited</u> understanding and	little or no understanding and
MCCRS 1-7, & 9	understanding and analysis of	understanding and analysis	analysis of the text, showing	analysis of the text, showing
1	the text, showing	of the text, showing <u>general</u>	very limited understanding of	little or no understanding of
	understanding of the text	understanding of the text	the text when referring to	the text when referring to
	when referring to explicit	when referring to explicit	explicit details and examples in	explicit details and examples
Donalin o	details and examples in the	details and examples in the	the text and when supporting	in the text and when
Reading	text and when supporting	text and when supporting	sound inferences drawn from	supporting sound inferences
Informational	sound inferences drawn from	sound inferences drawn from	the text.	drawn from the text.
	the text.	the text.	With moderately complex	With moderately complex
MCCRS 1-9	With moderately complex	With moderately complex	text, students demonstrate a	text, students demonstrate
	text, students demonstrate an	text, students demonstrate a	limited understanding and	little to no understanding and
	accurate understanding and	generally accurate	analysis of the text, showing	analysis of the text, showing
	analysis of the text, showing	understanding and analysis	limited understanding of the	little to no understanding of
Language	understanding of the text	of the text, showing general	text when referring to explicit	the text when referring to
Language	when referring to explicit	understanding of the text	details and examples in the	explicit details and examples
M00D0 4 5	details and examples in the	when referring to explicit	text and when supporting	in the text and when
MCCRS 4-5	text and when supporting	details and examples in the	sound inferences drawn from	supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
	sound inferences drawn from the text.	text and when supporting	the text. • With readily accessible text,	
		sound inferences drawn from the text.	students demonstrate a partial	With readily accessible text, students demonstrate a
	With readily accessible text, students demonstrate an	With readily accessible	understanding and analysis of	minimally accurate analysis of
	extensive understanding and	text, demonstrate a	the text, showing partial	the text, showing minimal
	analysis of the text, showing	satisfactory understanding	understanding of the text when	understanding of the text
	full understanding of the text	and analysis of the text,	referring to explicit details and	when referring to explicit
	when referring to explicit	showing understanding of the	examples in the text and when	details and examples in the
	details and examples in the	text when referring to explicit	supporting sound inferences	text and when supporting
	text and when supporting	details and examples in the	drawn from the text.	sound inferences drawn from
	sound inferences drawn from	text and when supporting		the text.
	the text.	sound inferences drawn from		
		the text.		

Reporting Category/Strand: Written Expression

Students write effectively when using and/or analyzing sources.

Items designed to measure the written expression claim on the MCAP ELA/L Assessment may address the evidence statements listed below.

The student's response:

- Demonstrates a full and complete understanding of ideas in the texts by providing an accurate analysis supported with effective and convincing textual
 evidence.
- States and supports claim(s) in an effective analysis of texts using effective reasoning and relevant evidence.
- Develops clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience.
- Presents ideas clearly and logically from beginning to end; there are strong connections between and among ideas.
- Acknowledges and addresses alternate or opposing claims in a clear and sound manner.
- Examines and conveys complex ideas, concepts, and information clearly and accurately through the effective selection, organization, and analysis of content.
- Develops experiences or events using effective techniques, well-chosen details, and well-structured event sequences.
- Is effectively developed with narrative techniques and creates an effective progression of experiences or events.
- Includes a well-developed thematic or topical link to the sources which enhances the narrative.
- Uses precise words, telling details, and sensory language to convey a vivid picture of the experiences, events, setting, and/or characters.

Anchor Standards	Level 4 In writing, a student at this level exhibits an ability to:	Level 3 In writing, a student at this level exhibits an ability to:	Level 2 In writing, a student at this level exhibits an ability to:	Level 1 In writing, a student at this level exhibits an ability to:
Writing Argument MCCRS 1	Demonstrate a full and complete understanding of ideas in the texts by providing an accurate analysis supported with effective and convincing textual evidence. State and support claim(s) in an effective analysis of texts using effective reasoning and relevant evidence. Develop clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. Present ideas clearly and logically from beginning to end; there are strong	Demonstrate an adequate understanding of ideas in the texts by providing a mostly accurate analysis supported with adequate textual evidence. State and support claim(s) in a mostly effective analysis of texts using mostly effective reasoning and evidence. Develop mostly clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are mostly appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. Present ideas from beginning to end; there are connections	Demonstrate basic understanding of ideas in the texts by providing a generally accurate analysis supported with basic textual evidence. State and support claim(s) through a generally accurate analysis of texts using some reasoning and evidence. Develop generally clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are generally appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. Present generally clear and logical ideas; there are general connections between	Demonstrate limited understanding of ideas stated in the texts by providing a minimally accurate analysis supported with limited textual evidence. State and support claim(s) through a limited analysis of texts using limited reasoning and evidence. Develop minimally coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are limited to task, purpose, and audience. Present limited ideas; there are minimally effective connections between and
	connections between and among ideas.	between and among ideas.	and among ideas.	among ideas.

Anchor Standards	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this
	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	•Demonstrate a full and	•Demonstrate an adequate	Demonstrate basic	•Demonstrate limited
Writing Informative/	complete understanding of	understanding of ideas in the	understanding of ideas in the	understanding of ideas stated
Explanatory	ideas in the texts by providing	texts by providing a mostly	texts by providing a generally	in the texts by providing a
	an accurate analysis	accurate analysis supported	accurate analysis supported	minimally accurate analysis
MCCRS 2	supported with effective and	with adequate textual	with basic textual evidence.	supported with limited textual
WICCING 2	convincing textual evidence.	evidence.	•Examine and convey	evidence.
	•Examine and convey	•Examine and convey mostly	generally accurate ideas,	•Examine and convey
	complex ideas, concepts, and	accurate ideas, concepts, and	concepts, and information	minimally accurate ideas,
	information clearly and	information through the	through the generally	concepts, and information
	accurately through the	selection, organization, and	accurate selection,	through the minimally
	effective selection,	analysis of content.	organization, and analysis of	effective selection,
	organization, and analysis of	•Develop mostly clear and	content.	organization, and analysis of
	content.	coherent writing in which the	•Develop generally clear and	content.
	•Develop clear and coherent	development, organization,	coherent writing in which the	•Show limited development of
	writing in which the	and style are mostly	development, organization,	writing in which the
	development, organization,	appropriate to task, purpose,	and style are generally	development, organization,
	and style are appropriate to	and audience.	appropriate to task, purpose,	and style are limited to task,
	task, purpose, and audience.	•Present ideas from beginning	and audience.	purpose, and audience.
	 Present ideas clearly and 	to end; there are connections	Present generally clear and	•Present limited ideas; there
	logically from beginning to	between and among ideas.	logical ideas; there are	are minimally effective
	end; there are strong		general connections between	connections between and
	connections between and		and among ideas.	among ideas.
	among ideas.			

Anchor Standards	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this
	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:
		,		•
	<u> </u>			D 1 11 11 11
	•Develop experiences or	•Develop experiences or	•Develop experiences or	•Develop limited experiences
Writing Narrative	events using effective	events using techniques,	events using generally	or events using minimally
	techniques, well-chosen	details, and structured event	effective techniques, details,	effective techniques, details,
MCCRS 3	details, and well-structured	sequences.	and event sequences.	and event sequences.
	event sequences.	•Develop mostly clear and	•Develop generally clear and	•Develop minimally coherent
	•Develop clear and coherent	coherent writing in which the	coherent writing in which the	writing in which the
	writing in which the	development, organization,	development, organization,	development, organization,
	development, organization,	and style are mostly	and style are generally	and style to task, purpose,
	and style are appropriate to	appropriate to task, purpose,	appropriate to task, purpose,	and audience are limited.
	task, purpose, and audience.	and audience.	and audience.	•Develop experiences or
	•Develop experiences or	•Develop experiences or	•Develop experiences or	events minimally with
	events effectively with	events with narrative	events with generally	narrative techniques and
	narrative techniques and	techniques and create a	appropriate narrative	create a limited progression
	create an effective	mostly coherent progression	techniques and create a	of experiences or events.
	progression of experiences or	of experiences or events.	somewhat coherent	•Include a minimally effective
	events.	•Include a thematic or topical	progression of experiences or	thematic or topical link to the
	•Include a well-developed	link to the sources which	events.	sources which limits the
	thematic or topical link to the	supports the narrative.	•Include a somewhat	narrative.
	sources which enhances the narrative.	•Use words, telling details,	developed thematic or topical link to the sources which	•Use limited details and
	1120112101121	and sensory language to		language in an attempt to
	•Use precise words, telling	convey a picture of the	somewhat supports the	convey the experiences,
	details, and sensory language	experiences, events, setting,	narrative. •Use somewhat effective	events, setting, and/or characters.
	to convey a vivid picture of	and/or characters.		Characters.
	the experiences, events,		details and language to	
	setting, and/or characters.		convey the experiences,	
			events, setting, and/or	
			characters.	

Reporting Category/Strand: Knowledge of Language and Conventions

Students write effectively, following conventions of standard English, applying sentence variety, and using appropriate mechanics.

Items designed to measure the **knowledge of language and conventions in writing claim** on the MCAP ELA/L Assessment may address the evidence statements listed below.

The student's response:

- Demonstrates command of the conventions of standard English at the appropriate level of complexity.
- Forms and controls a variety of sentences.
- Provides clarity by avoiding errors in grammar and mechanics.

Anchor Standards	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this	In writing, a student at this
	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:	level exhibits an ability to:
	•Demonstrate full command	•Demonstrate command of	•Demonstrate basic command	Demonstrate minimal
Language	of the conventions of	the conventions of standard	of the conventions of	command of the conventions
	standard English.	English.	standard English.	of standard English.
MCCRS 1-3	•Use complex grade-	•Use grade-appropriate	•Use limited grade-	•Use minimal grade-
	appropriate writing	writing mechanics, including	appropriate writing	appropriate writing
	mechanics, including spelling,	spelling, punctuation, and	mechanics, including the	mechanics, including the
	punctuation, and	capitalization with few errors.	misspelling of common	frequent misspelling of
	capitalization with few or no	 Use varied and mostly 	words, and some errors in	common words, and
	errors.	controlled sentence	punctuation and	substantial errors in
	•Use a variety of well-formed	structures.	capitalization.	punctuation and
	and effectively controlled	•Produce writing with some	•Use a limited variety and	capitalization.
	sentence structures.	errors in grammar and usage,	basic control of sentence	•Use a minimal variety and
	•Produce writing with little to	but overall meaning is	structures.	inconsistent control of
	no errors in grammar and	generally clear.	•Produce writing with errors in	sentence structures.
	usage, and overall meaning is		grammar and usage that may	•Produce writing with frequent
	clear.		occasionally impede	errors in grammar and usage
			understanding.	that often impede
				understanding.

Developed and produced by the MSDE Office of English/Language Arts, Division of Curriculum, Instructional Improvement, and Professional Learning and the Division of Assessment, Accountability, and Information Technology. DRAFT August 2021