

2022 – 2023 Maryland Alternate Assessments Justification for Exceeding the 1% Participation Threshold

This data summary reflects whether each local education agency (LEA) **anticipates** exceeding the 1% threshold for the number of students participating in one or more of the alternate academic assessments and the justification for exceeding the threshold. Justifications are provided for LEAs anticipating exceeding the threshold.

Local School System	Exceeds 1% participation in English Language Arts	Justification for Exceeding 1% Participation Threshold	Exceeds 1% participation in Mathematics	Justification for Exceeding 1% Participation Threshold	Exceeds 1% participation in Science	Justification for Exceeding 1% Participation Threshold
Allegany County	⊠Yes □No	ACPS has a small overall student tested population per grade, and it takes only a few students participating in alternate assessment per grade (7 students) to exceed 1%. Currently ACPS exceeds 1% in grades 4, 6 and 11. ACPS has 1.2% of students participating in the alternate assessment in this content area.	⊠Yes □No	ACPS has a small overall student tested population per grade, and it takes only a few students participating in alternate assessment per grade (7 students) to exceed 1%. Currently ACPS exceeds 1% in grades 4, 6 and 11. ACPS has 1.2% of students participating in the alternate assessment in this content area.	⊠Yes □No	ACPS has a small overall student tested population per grade, and it takes only a few students participating in alternate assessment per grade (7 students) to exceed 1%. Currently ACPS exceeds 1% in grades 4, 6 and 11. ACPS has 1.1% of students participating in the alternate assessment in this content area.
Anne Arundel County	⊠Yes □No	The LEA anticipates exceeding the 1.0 percent threshold for this assessed content. The anticipated participation rate for the spring 2023 administration is 1.02%.	⊠Yes □No	The LEA anticipates exceeding the 1.0 percent threshold for this assessed content. The anticipated participation rate for the spring 2023 administration is 1.02%.	□Yes ⊠No	
		(A) Anne Arundel County has experiences increasing numbers of students with disabilities overall and increasing numbers of eligible students with significant cognitive disabilities (From 7,932 students in 2013 to 10,263 in October 2022 child count).		(A) Anne Arundel County has experiences increasing numbers of students with disabilities overall and increasing numbers of eligible students with significant cognitive disabilities (From 7,932 students in 2013 to 10,263 in October 2022 child count).		
		(B) Students with significant cognitive disabilities participate in specially designed instruction within restrictive settings or disability—based programs which may emphasize participation in the alternate education framework and/or reduced opportunities to access the general education curriculum.		(B) Students with significant cognitive disabilities participate in specially designed instruction within restrictive settings or disability—based programs which may emphasize participation in the alternate education framework and/or reduced opportunities to access the general education curriculum.		

		 (C) The location of the LEA around community and health care organization and facilities that provide education day programs draws a significant number of students with significant cognitive disabilities and their families to Anne Arundel County. (D) The location of military installations that are known as "compassionate care" bases offering special education services, such as Fort Meade and the Naval Academy within Anne Arundel County and other locations that are in close proximity to Anne Arundel County, such as Andrews Air Force Base, draw a significant number of students with elignificant number of students with 		 (C) The location of the LEA around community and health care organization and facilities that provide education day programs draws a significant number of students with significant cognitive disabilities and their families to Anne Arundel County. (D) The location of military installations that are known as "compassionate care" bases offering special education services, such as Fort Meade and the Naval Academy within Anne Arundel County and other locations that are in close proximity to Anne Arundel County, such as Andrews Air Force Base, draw a significant number of students with significant provide and the significant number of students with a significant number of students with 		
Baltimore	□Yes ⊠No	significant cognitive disabilities and their families to Anne Arundel County.	□Yes ⊠No	significant cognitive disabilities and their families to Anne Arundel County.	⊠Yes □No	The LSS currently has 15,509 students identified to
City						participate in science assessment. Of the students identified 179 have been identified to participate in alternate assessment which is 1.2% of the identified students who will participate in assessment for science in the LSS. The district continues to provide training to staff and IEP teams regarding identifying students for participation in instruction and assessment utilizing Appendix A, Appendix C, and utilization of implementing the Least Dangerous Assumptions
Baltimore County	⊠Yes □No	The percentage of students with complex learning continues to increase. Students with such needs require curriculum modifications, explicit intervention for teaching and maintaining skills, and intensive services. Current and historical enrollment data suggests the greatest increase occurred with students identified under Autism, Multiple Disabilities, and Intellectual Disabilities. A surge in student enrollment, those who were determined eligible for alternative educational framework, increases the need to assess students above the 1%. Further data analysis indicates disproportionality in race. For instance, African	⊠Yes □No	Baltimore County Public Schools has experienced a consistent influx in the percentage of students with disabilities over the past six years. While the intensity of needs has increased, professional learning and consistent expectations for school team members around the alternative assessment determination process continues to be a priority. Further, data analysis indicates that African American students (191) with disability coding of Intellectual Disability is 2 ½ times likely to be determined eligible than White students (86). Further inquiry points to the need for a professional learning plan in equity and culturally	⊠Yes □No	Baltimore County Public Schools has experienced a consistent increase in the percentage of students with disabilities over the past six years. While the intensity of needs has increased, professional learning and consistent expectations for school team members around the alternative assessment determination process continues to be a priority.

		American (272) students identified to complete alternative assessments is more than two times the number White (127) students. Data is indicative of professional development (PD) needs for members of the IEP team decision making process. Potential targeted professional learning plan would include implicit bias, evaluating body of data evidence and specially designed instruction.		responsive instruction in union with specially designed instruction.		
Calvert County	□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No	
Caroline County	□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No	
Carroll County	□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No	
Cecil County	□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No	
Charles County	□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No	
Dorchester County	□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No		⊠Yes □No	During the 2022-2023 administration for MISA 5 and 8 and LS MISA, 1.03% of participants are eligible for the Maryland Alternate Assessment. Dorchester County's small population for test takers makes us easily impacted for the 1% threshold.
Frederick County	□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No	
Garrett County	□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No	
Harford County	□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No	
Howard County	□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No	
Kent County	□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No	
Maryland School for the Blind	□Yes □No	The Maryland School for the Blind (MSB) serves only students with disabilities who receive specially designed instruction through an Individualized Education Plan (IEP). MSD does not serve a general	⊠Yes □No	The Maryland School for the Blind (MSB) serves only students with disabilities who receive specially designed instruction through an Individualized Education Plan (IEP). MSD does not serve a general	⊠Yes □No	The Maryland School for the Blind (MSB) serves only students with disabilities who receive specially designed instruction through an Individualized Education Plan (IEP). MSD does not serve a general

	1					
		education student population. Many of our		education student population. Many of our		education student population. Many of our
		students have multiple disabilities and, of that		students have multiple disabilities and, of that		students have multiple disabilities and, of that
		population, a high percentage of our students have		population, a high percentage of our students have		population, a high percentage of our students have
		significant cognitive disabilities as determined by		significant cognitive disabilities as determined by		significant cognitive disabilities as determined by
		the IEP team through data collection, analysis, and		the IEP team through data collection, analysis, and		the IEP team through data collection, analysis, and
		the evaluation process.		the evaluation process.		the evaluation process.
Maryland	□Yes □No	Maryland School for the Deaf (MSD) anticipates	⊠Yes □No	Maryland School for the Deaf (MSD) anticipates	⊠Yes □No	Maryland School for the Deaf (MSD) anticipates
School for		exceeding the 1% threshold for this assessed		exceeding the 1% threshold for this assessed		exceeding the 1% threshold for this assessed
the Deaf		content area due to the number of deaf/hard-of-		content area due to the number of deaf/hard-of-		content area due to the number of deaf/hard-of-
the bear		hearing students identified with coexisting		hearing students identified with coexisting		hearing students identified with coexisting
		disabilities that include cognitive disabilities		disabilities that include cognitive disabilities		disabilities that include cognitive disabilities
		(Children Who Are Deaf/Hard of Hearing with		(Children Who Are Deaf/Hard of Hearing with		(Children Who Are Deaf/Hard of Hearing with
		Disabilities: Paths to Language and Literacy).		Disabilities: Paths to Language and Literacy).		Disabilities: Paths to Language and Literacy).
		Additional factors include a number of linguistic		Additional factors include a number of linguistic		Additional factors include a number of linguistic
		challenges faced by deaf and hard-of-hearing		challenges faced by deaf and hard-of-hearing		challenges faced by deaf and hard-of-hearing
		children; the majority of which (greater than 90%)		children; the majority of which (greater than 90%)		children; the majority of which (greater than 90%)
		are born to hearing families unfamiliar with Deaf		are born to hearing families unfamiliar with Deaf		are born to hearing families unfamiliar with Deaf
		Culture and American Sign Language (ASL), which is		Culture and American Sign Language (ASL), which is		Culture and American Sign Language (ASL), which is
		also the language of instruction at MSD. As such,		also the language of instruction at MSD. As such,		also the language of instruction at MSD. As such,
		deaf children are at risk for significant educational		deaf children are at risk for significant educational		deaf children are at risk for significant educational
		delays based on "linguistic neglect" (Linguistic		delays based on "linguistic neglect" (Linguistic		delays based on "linguistic neglect" (Linguistic
		Neglect of Deaf Children in the United States).		Neglect of Deaf Children in the United States).		Neglect of Deaf Children in the United States).
		These statistics and coexisting disabilities for MSD		These statistics and coexisting disabilities for MSD		These statistics and coexisting disabilities for MSD
		students complicate eligibility, identification, and		students complicate eligibility, identification, and		students complicate eligibility, identification, and
		intervention with communication competence.		intervention with communication competence.		intervention with communication competence.
N.A		Montgomery County provides a wide range of		Montgomery County provides a wide range of		Montgomery County provides a wide range of
Montgomery	⊠Yes □No	health and community services and resources	⊠Yes □No	health and community services and resources	⊠Yes □No	health and community services and resources which
County		which attracts a large number of families of		which attracts a large number of families of		attracts a large number of families of students with
		students with disabilities. Additionally,		students with disabilities. Additionally,		disabilities. Additionally, Montgomery County Public
		Montgomery County Public Schools (MCPS)		Montgomery County Public Schools (MCPS)		Schools (MCPS)
		provides a continuum of services to support		provides a continuum of services to support		provides a continuum of services to support
		students with significant cognitive disabilities. As a		students with significant cognitive disabilities. As a		students with significant cognitive disabilities. As a
		result, MCPS receives a significant number of		result, MCPS receives a significant number of		result, MCPS receives a significant number of
		families who move into Montgomery County to		families who move into Montgomery County to		families who move into Montgomery County to
		access these services.		access these services.		access these services.
		The most common disability description associated		The most common disability description associated		The most common disability description associated
		with eligibility for the alternate assessment is		with eligibility for the alternate assessment is		with eligibility for the alternate assessment is
		Intellectual Disability. A review of identification		Intellectual Disability. A review of identification		Intellectual Disability. A review of identification data
		data indicates that MCPS is at risk of significant		data indicates that MCPS is at risk of significant		indicates that MCPS is at risk of significant
		disproportionate		disproportionate		disproportionate
L						

identification of Black/African American students for intellectual disabilities while the data demonstrates recent improvement in this area. The risk ratio for Black/African American students for the 2019–2020 school year was 2.3, over the acceptable 2.0 risk ratio. During the 2020–2021 school year, that ratio improved to 1.87, while falling again to 1.72 for the 2021–2022 school year. MCPS continues to consider this area of identification along with the Native Hawaiian/ Other Pacific Islander student group to be at risk of over-identification and will target this area for professional development.

To support this work, MCPS will:

- Provide professional development (PD) on Identifying Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities to new resource teachers special education (RTSEs) and new psychologists. This PD includes a study of the exclusionary factors of English Language Learner (ELL) status and low academic achievement in reading or mathematics in the absence of corresponding cognitive scores to address the over identification of Black/African American students.
- Conduct quarterly internal audits of the Alternate Assessment eligibility data by school, to include
 - Cognitive, adaptive, and educational scores
 - Evidence to support the criteria for participation
 - Evidence of parent understanding and completed Parent Consent form (Appendix C).
- Schools will receive feedback on their identification processes to address any procedural issues. This information also will identify schools that may require

identification of Black/African American students for intellectual disabilities while the data demonstrates recent improvement in this area. The risk ratio for Black/African American students for the 2019–2020 school year was 2.3, over the acceptable 2.0 risk ratio. During the 2020–2021 school year, that ratio improved to 1.87, while falling again to 1.72 for the 2021–2022 school year. MCPS continues to consider this area of identification along with the Native Hawaiian/ Other Pacific Islander student group to be at risk of over-identification and will target this area for professional development.

To support this work, MCPS will:

- Provide professional development (PD) on Identifying Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities to new resource teachers special education (RTSEs) and new psychologists. This PD includes a study of the exclusionary factors of English Language Learner (ELL) status and low academic achievement in reading or mathematics in the absence of corresponding cognitive scores to address the over identification of Black/African American students.
- Conduct quarterly internal audits of the Alternate Assessment eligibility data by school, to include
 - Cognitive, adaptive, and educational scores
 - Evidence to support the criteria for participation
 - Evidence of parent understanding and completed Parent Consent form (Appendix C).
- Schools will receive feedback on their identification processes to address any procedural issues. This information also will identify schools that may require

identification of Black/African American students for intellectual disabilities while the data demonstrates recent improvement in this area. The risk ratio for Black/African American students for the 2019–2020 school year was 2.3, over the acceptable 2.0 risk ratio. During the 2020–2021 school year, that ratio improved to 1.87, while falling again to 1.72 for the 2021–2022 school year. MCPS continues to consider this area of identification along with the Native Hawaiian/ Other Pacific Islander student group to be at risk of over-identification and will target this area for professional development.

To support this work, MCPS will:

- Provide professional development (PD) on Identifying Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities to new resource teachers special education (RTSEs) and new psychologists. This PD includes a study of the exclusionary factors of English Language Learner (ELL) status and low academic achievement in reading or mathematics in the absence of corresponding cognitive scores to address the over identification of Black/African American students.
- Conduct quarterly internal audits of the Alternate Assessment eligibility data by school, to include
 - Cognitive, adaptive, and educational scores
 - Evidence to support the criteria for participation
 - Evidence of parent understanding and completed Parent Consent form (Appendix C).
- Schools will receive feedback on their identification processes to address any procedural issues. This information also will identify schools that may require

		 personalized coaching for their teams on the eligibility process. Require the participation of a member of the central office Alternate Learning Outcomes (ALO) team throughout the eligibility process for students who are being considered for Alternate Assessments for the first time. This participation may include student observations, record review, consultation with team members and/or attendance at the IEP meetings. 		personalized coaching for their teams on the eligibility process. Require the participation of a member of the central office Alternate Learning Outcomes (ALO) team throughout the eligibility process for students who are being considered for Alternate Assessments for the first time. This participation may include student observations, record review, consultation with team members and/or attendance at the IEP meetings.		personalized coaching for their teams on the eligibility process. Require the participation of a member of the central office Alternate Learning Outcomes (ALO) team throughout the eligibility process for students who are being considered for Alternate Assessments for the first time. This participation may include student observations, record review, consultation with team members and/or attendance at the IEP meetings.
Prince George's County	□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No	
Queen Anne's County	□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No	
St. Mary's County	□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No	
Somerset County	⊠Yes □No	Somerset County anticipates exceeding the one percent threshold for the number of students participating in the Mathematics alternate academic assessments. Somerset County has a small population of students who participate in the Mathematics assessment. There are approximately 1,515 students who would participate in the ELA assessment this year. Currently there are 22 students who will take the corresponding alternate assessment in grades three through eight and high school. This equates to approximately 1.45%, down from 1.8% in 2022 and 2.2% in 2021. Over the past couple of years, the Special Education Supervisors have been working to reduce the number of students who are taking the alternate assessment. Given the small population of test takers, even with a reduction in the number of students taking the alternate assessment in ELA, it is difficult to reduce our rate of assessing less than one percent.	⊠Yes □No	Somerset County anticipates exceeding the one percent threshold for the number of students participating in the Mathematics alternate academic assessments. Somerset County has a small population of students who participate in the Mathematics assessment. There are approximately 1,702 students who would participate in the Mathematics Assessment this year. Currently there are 22 students who will take the corresponding alternate assessment in grades three through eight and high school. This equates to approximately 1.29%, down from 1.8% in 2022 and 2.2% in 2021. Over the past couple of years, the Special Education Supervisors have been working to reduce the number of students who are taking the alternate assessment. Given the small population of test takers, even with a reduction in the number of students taking the alternate assessment in	⊠Yes □No	Somerset County anticipates exceeding the one percent threshold for the number of students participating in the Science alternate academic assessments. Somerset County has a small population of students who participate in the Science assessment. There are approximately 406 students who would participate in the Science Assessment this year. Currently there are 10 students who will take the corresponding alternate assessment in grades three through eight and high school. Over the past couple of years, the Special Education Supervisors have been working to reduce the number of students who are taking the alternate assessment. Given the small population of test takers particularly for the Science assessment, even with a reduction in the number of students taking the alternate assessment in Science, it is difficult to reduce our rate of assessing less than one percent.

			Mathematics, it is difficult to reduce our rate of assessing Jess than one percent.		
Talbot County	□Yes ⊠No	□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No	
Washington County	□Yes ⊠No	□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No	
Wicomico County	□Yes ⊠No	□Yes ⊠No		⊠Yes □No	Based on the smaller student population per grade tested in this content area, in WCPS just a few students can have a great impact on the 1.0% participation threshold. This is the case for the Science assessment in WCPS; 1.14% of the total assessed students are being assessed through the corresponding alternate assessment for Science. This is not the case for the English/Language Arts and Mathematics assessments as a greater number of students are assessed in these areas compared to Science. This is a decrease from last year of 0.16% so our new procedures impacting eligibility and participation of students in instruction using alternate standards and assessment aligned with alternate achievement standards in WCPS are working. Lastly, IEP teams are maximizing inclusive practices, accommodations and supplementary aids, services, and program modifications during IEP development to continue our decreasing trend of numbers of students with disabilities being eligible for and participating in alternate standards and assessments.
Worcester County	□Yes ⊠No	□Yes ⊠No		□Yes ⊠No	