

Overview: Comprehensive Support and Improvement School Template

Dr. Gail Clark Dickson, Section Chief Mrs. Tricia Crafton, Coordinator

Division of Curriculum, Research, Assessment and Accountability
Office of Title I – Program Improvement
May 29, 2018

Session Outcomes

- By the end of this session, participants will:
 - Understand the types of Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) Schools under ESSA
 - Receive an overview of the CSI School Needs Assessment and Intervention Plan Template, including:
 - Comprehensive Needs Assessment
 - Intervention Plan
 - Budget Narrative
 - Attestations
 - Gain knowledge of the technical assistance available to school districts in preparation for CSI school identification



Why Now?

- Identification of CSI Schools will tentatively take place between August and October of 2018
 - Elementary and Middle Schools August 2018
 - High Schools September/October 2018
- Sharing the template in order to allow proactive planning for any school district that believes they may have a CSI school



Identification Criteria

- States must use the State-level accountability system developed under ESSA to identify CSI and TSI schools
- Maryland has proposed to use <u>all</u>
 <u>indicators</u> in the State's accountability
 system for identification



Comprehensive Support and Improvement Schools

Types of Schools	Description	Timeline for Identification	Initial year of Identification
Lowest Performing	The lowest achieving five percent of Title I schools in the State based on the "all students" group	At least once every three years	2018-2019
Low Graduation Rate	All public high schools in the State failing to graduate one third or more of their students based on the four-year adjusted cohort graduation rate	At least once every three years	2018-2019
School Improvement Grant (SIG IV) Schools	Existing SIG IV schools will be included in the Maryland 2018-2019 CSI School list. The five Maryland SIG IV schools began implementation of a five-year SIG grant in 2016-2017	N/A	2018-2019
Chronically Low- Performing	Any Title I school identified for targeted support and improvement for a low-performing or consistently underperforming student group that did not improve over three years.		2021-2022 D STATE DEPARTMENT OF JCATION

EQUITY AND EXCELLENCE

Overview

- All CSI schools receiving Title I School
 Improvement Funds are required to complete:
 - Comprehensive Needs Assessment
 - 3rd Party Root Cause Analysis
 - Intervention Plan
 - Budget Narrative and Attestation
- Developed collaboratively with specific stakeholders
- Approved by the school, the district, and the Maryland State Department of Education

EQUITY AND EXCELLENCE

Overview

- The purpose of the needs assessment and intervention plan is to:
 - (1) reflect on strengths and challenges in order to identify prioritized needs based on data analysis and root cause analysis findings; and
 - (2) develop SMART intervention goals as the driver for an intervention plan that contains evidencebased strategies to address prioritized needs.



Background

- Maryland's Consolidated State Plan under the Every Student Succeeds Act
- Identification of Comprehensive Support and Improvement Schools for the 2018-2019
- Support for Comprehensive Support and Improvement Schools for 2018-2018



Comprehensive Needs Assessment

□ Sections:

- School Profile
- Student Profile
- Student Achievement
- Staff Profile
- Root Cause Analysis



School Profile

** DRAFT FOR FEEDBACK**
Not intended for use or distribution

Please see your hard copy for a better view!

School Profile School Name School Address Local Education Agency (LEA) Grades Served Principal's Name Principal's Email Address School Phone Number Principal Supervisor's Name Principal Supervisor's Email

Needs Assessment and Intervention Plan Planning Team: The needs assessment and intervention plan are designed to be completed by a team, in partnership with stakeholders, and must align with school and LEA priorities and goals. In the space below, identify team members who contributed to completing the needs assessment and intervention plan. Mandatory stakeholder participants are listed in the position column. Add other participants based on individual school team composition, which should be driven by school context/need (i.e. school's with a high special education population should have a special education representative on the team).

Contains:

- Basic School Info
- Instructions for Stakeholder Team Composition
- State Long-Term Goals
- LEA Vision, Mission, and Goals
- School Vision, Mission, and Goals



Student Profile

** DRAFT FOR FEEDBACK**
Not intended for use or distribution

	Student Profile Data			
School Year		2017-2018	2016-2017	2015-2016
	each school year. students enrolled in the school as of September 30 of each year ber includes ungraded special education and pre-kindergarten			
	Pre-Kindergarten			
	Kindergarten			
	Grade 1			
	Grade 2			
	Grade 3			
Grade Level Enrollment:	Grade 4			
Identify the number of students enrolled in each	Grade 5			
grade level.	Grade 6			
***************************************	Grade 7			
	Grade 8			
	Grade 9 Grade 10		-	
	Grade 10 Grade 11	8 9		
	Grade 11			
	Grade 12			
Gender:	Male			
Identify the number of male and female				
students.	Female			
	Black/African American			
	Hispanic/Latino			
	Asian			
Race/Ethnicity:	White			
Identify the number of students in each group.	Multi-Racial/Other	7		
	American Indian/ Alaska Native		1	
k .	Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander			
	Established			
	English Learners Migrant	-		
Special Services:		-		
Identify the percentage of students in each	Economically Disadvantaged (Free and Reduced Meal			
group.	Programs (FARMs)) Students with Disabilities			
group.	Gifted and Talented	_		
	Homeless			
	Tromuless			
	Student Mobility - Percentage of students that move from one			
	school community to another as reflected on Maryland Report			
	Card.			
	Student Attendance - Percentage of stud			
	least half of the average school day during			
	reflected on Maryland Report Card.			
	Chronic Absenteeism - Percentage of	1	se s	
	than 20 days as reflected on Maryland R		92	266
		100	SC 1	
	Student Promotion - Percentage of stud			
		ır h	ard	CODY
		ır h	ard	CODV
	grade six as reflected on Maryland Repo Promotion rate reflects the percentage of during the school year. Students that and grade to a higher level are defined as pri	ır h	ard	copy
	grade six as reflected on Maryland Repo Promotion rate reflects the percentage o during the school year. Students that are grade to a higher level are defined as pro			
	grade six as reflected on Maryland Repo Promotion rate reflects the percentage o during the school year. Students that are grade to a higher level are defined as pro			
	grade six as reflected on Maryland Repo Promotion rate reflects the percentage o during the school year. Students that ad grade to a higher level are defined as pr Student Promotion - Percentage of stud grade nine as reflected on Maryland Rep Promotion rate reflects the percentage of		ard be	
	grade six as reflected on Maryland Repo Promotion rate reflects the percentage of during the school year. Students that ad- grade to a higher level are defined as pr Student Promotion - Percentage of stu- grade nie as reflected on Maryland Rec Promotion rate reflects the percentage of during the school year. Students that ad-			
	grade six as reflected on Maryland Repo Promotion rate reflects the percentage o during the school year. Students that ad grade to a higher level are defined as pr Student Promotion - Percentage of stud grade nine as reflected on Maryland Rep Promotion rate reflects the percentage of	or a	be	tter
	grade six as reflected on Maryland Repo Promotion rate reflects the percentage of during the school year. Students that all grade to a higher level are defined as pr Student Promotion - Percentage of stud grade nine as reflected on Maryland Rep Promotion rate reflects the percentage of during the school year. Students that add grade to a higher level are defined as pr	or a	be	tter
	grade six as reflected on Maryland Repo Promotion rate reflects the percentage o during the school year. Students that ad grade to a higher level are defined as pr Student Promotion - Percentage of stuf grade nine as reflected on Maryland Rep Promotion rate reflects the percentage o during the school year. Students that ad grade to a higher level are defined as pr Student Promotion - Percentage of stuf Student Promotion - Percentage of stuf	or a	be	tter
	grade six as reflected on Maryland Repo Promotion rate reflects the percentage of during the school year. Students that all grade to a higher level are defined as pr Student Promotion - Percentage of stud grade nine as reflected on Maryland Rep Promotion rate reflects the percentage of during the school year. Students that add grade to a higher level are defined as pr	or a		tter
Additional Data:	grade six as reflected on Maryland Repo Promotion rate reflects the percentage of during the school year. Students that all grade to a higher level are defined as pr Student Promotion - Percentage of stud grade nine as reflected on Maryland Rep Promotion rate reflects the percentage of during the school year. Students that ad grade to a higher level are defined as pr Student Promotion - Percentage of stud grade to a higher level are defined as pr Student Promotion - Percentage of stud grade to a sefected on Maryland Repc	or a	be	tter
Additional Data: Identify requested data for each category.	grade six as reflected on Maryland Repo Promotion rate reflects the percentage o during the school year. Students that ad grade to a higher level are defined as pr Student Promotion - Percentage of stuf grade nine as reflected on Maryland Rep Promotion rate reflects the percentage o during the school year. Students that ad grade to a higher level are defined as pr Student Promotion - Percentage of stuf grade ten as reflected on Maryland Repc Promotion rate reflects the percentage of	or a	be	tter

Contains:

- Enrollment Data by Grade Level, Gender, Race/Ethnicity, and Special Services
- Student Mobility
- Student Attendance
- Chronic Absenteeism
- Student Promotion
- Dropout Rate
- Graduation Rate and Certificate Rate
- Disproportionality Rate
- Opportunities for Enrichment, Remediation, Acceleration and Engagement
- Access to a Well-Round Curriculum



Student Achievement

** DRAFT FOR FEEDBACK**
Not intended for use or distribution

	Student Achievement	Data		
School Year		2017-2018	2016-2017	2015-2016
State Assessment (PARCC): English Language Arts / Literacy Identify the percentage of students that have met or exceeded expectations as reflected on Maryland Report Card.	Grade 3 Grade 4 Grade 5 Grade 6 Grade 7			
enected on Maryland Report Card.	Grade 8			
State Assessment (PARCC): Mathematics Identify	Grade 3 Grade 4 Grade 5			
the percentage of students that have met or exceeded expectations as reflected on Maryland Report Card.	Grade 6 Grade 7 Grade 8			
State Assessment (PARCC): Identify the percentage of students that have met or exceeded expectations as reflected on	PARCC English 10			
	Bridge Plan for Academic Validation ELA - Identify the percent of students who fulfilled testing requirements using Bridge.			
	PARCC Algebra I			
Maryland Report Card.	Bridge Plan for Academic Validation Mathematics - Identify the percentage of students who fulfilled state requirements using Bridge.			se see ard cop
			your ha for a	better

Contains:

view!

- State Assessment Data for ELA and Math by Grade Level (Achievement)
- Service Learning Hours
- Course Performance
- English Language Proficiency
- District-level Assessment BOY/EOY Comparison
 - State Assessment Data for ELA and Math by Grade Level (Growth)



Staff Profile

Principal and Administrators lumber of years of experience as a principal umber of years at current school as an administrato Number of Assistant Principals Administrator Attendance (Average Daily Rate-Percent Number of Unfilled Teacher Vacancies Percentage of first year teachers Percentage of teachers with 1-3 years of experience Percentage of teachers that meet applicable State Certification and Licensure requirem ents Percentage of teachers with Conditional Certification/Uncertified Percentage of teachers teaching one or more classes outside of their certification Percentage of teachers rated ineffective Teacher Attendance (Average Daily Rate-Percent) Resource Inequities - Staff Disparities 2017-2018 2016-2017 2015-2016 Percent of Ineffective Teachers in the LEA Disparity of ineffective Teachers in this school compared to the LEA Percent of Inexperienced Teachers in the LEA (0-3 years of experience Disparity of Inexperienced Teachers in this school compared to the LEA Percent of Out-of-Field Teachers in the LEA (teaching one or more classes outsic of their certification area) Disparity of Out-of-Field Teachers in this school compared to the LEA Para-Professionals School Year Number of para-professionals Please see Percent of instructional para-professionals who are qualified your hard copy Staff to Support Student Services for a better Staff to Support Student Services List type and quantity of the licensed staff positions providing support services. Examples include social workers, psychologists, nurses, professional school view!

Contains:

- Principal Years of Experience
- Number of Assistant Principals
- Administrator Attendance
- Teacher Vacancies
- Percent of inexperienced teachers
- Percent of uncertified teachers
- Percent of teachers teaching outside of their content area
- Percent of teachers rated ineffective
- Teacher Attendance
- Disparities of inexperienced, uncertified/out-of-field, and ineffective teachers
- Paraprofessional Data
- Staff to Support Student Services



Root Cause Analysis

** DRAFT FOR FEEDBACK**
Not intended for use or distribution

<u>PLACEHOLDER:</u> Tools and/or results from the 3rd Party Root Cause
Analysis will go here. These tools and processes are to be
determined as they will be developed, with input from MSDE, by the
3rd Party.



Intervention Plan

- □ Sections:
 - Intervention Planning Guide
 - Goals
 - Strengths and Challenges
 - Prioritized Needs
 - SMART Goals
 - Strategies and Action Steps
 - Evidence-Based Strategies
 - Implementation Plan



Goals – Strengths and Challenges

** DRAFT FOR FEEDBACK**
Not intended for use or distribution

data to justify each response.	: 3rd party root cause analysis, identify and explain the strengths in each domain using both qualitative and quantitative
Domain for Rapid of School Improvement	School Strengths
Turnaround Leadership: Domain Practices: -Prioritize improvement and communicate its urgency -Monitor short- and long-term goals -Customize and target support to meet needs -Focus areas of this Domain: -Prioritize improvement -Communicate urgent need and vision -Policies, structures, personnel, resources -Organize coordinated efforts -Develop and execute data-informed plans that are customized for the school -Guide and monitor plans -Accept responsibility for results -Talent Development:	Please see your hard copy for a better view!
Instructional Transformation: Domain Practices: - Diagnose and respond to student learning needs - Provide rigorous evidence-based instruction - Remove barriers and provide opportunities Focus areas of this Domain: - GOAL: Increased student learning outcomes - Effective Practices - Standards-based instruction - Data-based planning - Differentiation and individualization - Evidence-based strategies – pedagogical approaches - Classroom management - Cultivate high expectations for all combined with support for all - Focused on in-school factors and non-school based factors	

Goals – Prioritized Needs

** DRAFT FOR FEEDBACK**
Not intended for use or distribution

Culture Shift:

Domain Practices:

-Build a strong community intensely focused on student learning

-Solicit and act upon stakeholder input

-Engage students and families in pursuing education goals

Focus areas of this Domain:

-Culture shift depends on many people working together to achieve extraordinary results

-Needs both high academic expectations and effort

-Work towards common goals

-Creating a culture of mutual respect

-Shared responsibility

-Focus and attention on student learning

-Engage families and school community to support culture both in and out of the school

-Create or maintain a culture that values trust, respect, and high expectations

Please see your hard copy for a better view!

Prioritized Needs

<u>Directions:</u> Following analysis of the qualitative and quantitative data in the needs assessment and 3rd party root cause analysis and identification of the school strengths and challenges, school teams should identify the 3-4 highest-leverage prioritized needs that will increase student achievement for all students. Prioritized needs should focus on areas that will increase achievement for all students and narrow the scope for the development of SMART intervention goals, outcomes, and action steps. A justification, based on data analysis from the needs assessment and 3rd party root cause analysis, should be provided for each prioritized need.

Identify Prioritized Needs What are the 3-4 highest-leverage prioritized needs that must be addressed to increase student achie∨ement?	Justification through Data Analysis What data or findings from the needs assessment and root cause analysis led the team to identify this prioritized need? What additional quantitative and qualitative data led the team to identify this prioritized need?
1	
 2	
3	
4	

Goals - SMART Goals

Please see your hard copy for a better view!

** DRAFT FOR FEEDBACK**
Not intended for use or distribution

Intervention Goals

<u>Directions</u>: Based on the prioritized needs, the school should develop 3-4 SMART intervention goals. Goals must be specific, measurable, achievable, realistic, and time-bound (SMART). Provide a rationale, supported with data, for each SMART intervention goal. For each SMART intervention goal, identify one or more domains to which the goal aligns.

Domains for Rapid School Improvement	SMART Intervention Goal	What data will be gathered and analyzed to measure this goal annually?	What data will be gathered and analyzed to measure this goal quarterly/monthly?	Rationale Provide a detailed rationale, supported with qualitative and quantitative data, justifying the selection of the specific SMART intervention goal.
☐ Turnaround Leadership ☐ Talent Development ☐ Instructional Transformation ☐ Culture Shift	EXAMPLE: During the 2018-2019 school year, the percent of 3rd through 5th graders that will be reading on or above grade level will increase from 30% to 35% as measured by the iReady end-of-year assessment. Specific: Is the goal clearly defined? Measurable: Are concrete criteria identified for measuring progress toward attainment of the goal? Achievable: Does the goal stretch the school while still being attainable? Realistic: Does the goal relate to student learning and achievement? Is it data-based? Time-bound: Is the timeframe appropriate for accomplishment of the goal?	The goal will be measured annually using the percent of 3rd, 4th, and 5th grade students reading on or above grade level via the iReady end of year assessment.	Quarter 1: Baseline data is collected via iReady. Quarter 2: Middle of year iReady data collected to determine student growth. 2% growth is expected by mid-year. Quarter 3: End of year iReady data collected. Target of 35% increase in reading on or above grade level should be met or exceeded.	The grade 3, grade 4 and grade 5 iReady reading scores show significant decreases compared to grades 1 and 2, with 75% of the students reading at least two grade levels below. Additionally, the teaching teams in grades 3, 4, and 5 have almost 80% inexperienced teachers, who need additional support scaffolding and differentiating the curriculum for all learners.
☐ Turnaround Leadership ☐ Talent Development ☐ Instructional Transformation ☐ Culture Shift	1			
☐ Turnaround Leadership ☐ Talent Development ☐ Instructional Transformation ☐ Culture Shift	2			

Strategies and Action Steps – Evidence-Based Strategies

** DRAFT FOR FEEDBACK**
Not intended for use or distribution

Select Evidence-Based Strategies

Directions: For each SMART intervention goal, identify an appropriate evidence-based strategy that will be used to address the prioritized need. Once evidence-based strategies have been selected for each SMART intervention goal, school teams will provide the level of evidence for the selected strategy, a summary of the evidence highlighting school context, and the source citation for the evidence level. Additionally, school teams will identify professional learning needs, staffing needs, and resource needs for each evidence-based-strategy.

NOTE: No specific vendors should be named or selected as evidence-based strategies. According to ESSA, a strategy is evidence-based if the activity, strategy, or intervention demonstrates a statistically significant effect on improving student outcomes or other relevant outcomes based on one of three levels of evidence.

SMART Intervention Goal Number	Evidence-Based Strategies: Identify the strategies that will be used to address the need identified by the SMART Intervention Goal.	Level of Evidence (Tier I, Tier II, Tier III)	Evidence summary and evidence source/citation (include citation link)	Professional Learning Needs	Staffing Needs	Resource Needs	Structures Needed for Implementation (Readiness evaluation - examples include master schedule, technology, curriculum, etc.)
	Please your h	see \					
	your had copy for better view	or a er !!					

Strategies and Action Steps – Implementation Plan

** DRAFT FOR FEEDBACK**
Not intended for use or distribution

Please see your hard copy for a better view!

Action Steps for Each Evidence-Based Strategy

Directions: Using the tables provided, define the specific actions steps for the implementation of each evidence-based strategy. For each action step, include the indicator of success/benchmark, the timeline, the persons responsible, and the persons involved. Add additional charts, as needed.

Goal #:

Evidence-Based Strategy #1:

Implementation Plan: Action Steps for Implementation (all actions should address the prioritzed need)	Indicator of Success/Benc hmark	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Person(s) Involved, including Stakeholders and Partners
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7 .				
8.				

Budget Narrative

** DRAFT FOR FEEDBACK**

Not intended for use or distribution

Budget Narrative		FY19 Schoo	l Improvement Funds	School Allocation =	INSERT TOTAL ALLOCATION
INSERT SCHOOL NAME			List additional funding being used for interven		
REMINDER: No specific vendors should be named within the budget narrative. Evidence-based strategies, activities, and interventions should be used in lieu of vendor names.					
Line Item	Description	Calculation	Total Budget	Funding Source	Rationale - Briefly explain how the expenditure addresses the implementation of one or more of the evidence-based strategies.
Salaries & Wages	Example: Professional Learning	40 teachers X 4 hours per month = 160 hours; 160 hours X 10 months = 1,600 hours; 1,600 hours X \$30 per hours = \$48,000	\$48,000.00	FY 19 CSI Funds	Example: Teachers will participate in after-school professional learning for at least three hours each month from September through June. After-school sessions will be aligned to intervention plan initiatives.
Total Salaries	s and Wages		\$48,000.00		
Fixed Charges					

Please see your hard copy for a better view!



Attestation

** DRAFT FOR FEEDBACK**

Not intended for use or distribution

Section 1111 (d) SCHOOL SUPPORT AND IMPROVEMENT ACTIVITIES.—(1) COMPREHENSIVE SUPPORT AND IMPROVEMENT.—

- (A) IN GENERAL.—Each State educational agency receiving funds under this part shall notify each local educational agency in the State of any school served by the local educational agency that is identified for comprehensive support and improvement under subsection (c)(4)(D)(i).
- (B) LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCY ACTION.—Upon receiving such information from the State, the local educational agency shall, for each school identified by the State and in partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents), locally develop and implement a comprehensive support and improvement plan for the school to improve student outcomes, that—
- (i) is informed by all indicators described in subsection (c)(4)(B), including student performance against State-determined long-term goals;
- (ii) includes evidence-based interventions;

1st Submission

Received:

- (iii) is based on a school-level needs assessment;
- (iv) identifies resource inequities, which may include a review of local educational agency and school-level budgeting, to be addressed through implementation of such comprehensive support and improvement plan;
- (v) is approved by the school, local educational agency, and State educational agency; and
- (vi) upon approval and implementation, is monitored and periodically reviewed by the State educational agency.

2nd Submission

Received:

	School-Level Attestat	ion	
I certify the needs assessment and i assessment and intervention plan has	ntervention plan was collaboratively developed in partnerslave been approved by the school.	ip with stakeholders. I acknowledge and	d confirm that the needs
Principal Name:	Principal Signature:	Date:	
	Local Education Agency (LEA ntervention plan were collaboratively developed in partners		nd confirm that the needs
LEA Rep Name:	as been approved by the local education agency. LEA Rep Signature:	Date:	
I salmourled so and southern that the	Maryland State Department of Education needs assessment and intervention plan have been received		
MSDE Rep Name:	MSDE Rep Signature:	Date:	

3rd Submission

Received:

Please see your hard copy for a better view!



Technical Assistance

- Any school district that believes they may have a CSI school is encouraged to begin proactive planning with stakeholder involvement including:
 - Completion of the Comprehensive Needs Assessment
 - Drafting of the Intervention Plan
- Support is available from MSDE with the goal of having <u>approvable plans ready</u> shortly after identification of CSI Schools

Questions?





Contact Us!

- Dr. Gail Clark Dickson, Section Chief
 - gail.dickson@maryland.gov
 - 410-767-5153

- Mrs. Tricia Crafton, Coordinator
 - patricia.crafton@maryland.gov
 - 410-767-3553

